# Choose Motorcycles with Multi-Critical Decision Technique

#### Nguyen Manh Ha

Faculty of Mechanical Engineering, College of Econimcs and Techniques, Thainguyen University, Vietnam hazin252555@gmail.com

Abstract-Motorcycles considered are indispensable items in every low- and middleincome family in Vietnam. Choosing a motorcycle to buy is very important. This research was conducted to select the best motorcycle among the available options. The number of motorcycles considered in this study is six, including Honda Wave Alpha 110, Honda Blade 110, 2021 Honda Beat CBS, Honda Wave RSX FI 110, Honda Future 125 FI, and Honda Genio. The PIPRECIA (Plvot Pairwise RElative Criteria Importance Assessment) method was used to determine the weights for the criteria. The FUCA (Faire Un Choix Adéquat) method was used to rank the alternatives in each product category. This research has identified the Honda Future 125 FI as the best among the six options mentioned above.

Keywords—Motobike selection, Plvot Pairwise RElative Criteria Importance Assessment method, Faire Un Choix Adéquat method.

# I. INTRODUCTION

Today, for every family, means of transport are considered indispensable components to serve daily life. In Vietnam, for low- and middle-income families, the means of transport mentioned is motorbikes. However, choosing to buy the most suitable motorbike is a complicated job for each customer. A question often asked is how to buy the "best" product. The concept of "best" for a product is understood that the product must have all the criteria to be considered the best. However, for each type of product, there are many different options on the market. Choosing a product based on only one or a few criteria is easy to make mistakes. That mistake is understood as buying a product that is not considered the best. To choose the best product, it is necessary to consider all its criteria. This is called multi-criteria decision making (MCDM) [1-4]. However, the authors of this study can confirm with certainty that up to now, there has not been any study applying MCDM methods to motorcycle selection. This study was conducted to fill this gap.

FUCA is a popular MCDM method used in recent times [5, 6]. This method has been used for multicriteria decision making in various fields [7-12]. However, the application of the FUCA method to motorcycle selection has not been found in any

# Nguyen Thi Le Hang

Faculty of Mechanical Engineering, College of Econimcs and Techniques, Thainguyen University, Vietnam

studies. This gap is why the FUCA method was used in this study.

For items that are motorcycles, it is important to consider the opinions of buyers. PIPREICA is a method of assigning weights to criteria taking into account the decision maker's point of view. Using this method, it is possible not only to determine the weight of the criteria when considering the opinions of one person, but it is also possible to determine the weight of the criteria when considering the opinions of many people [13]. In recent times, this method has also been used to calculate the weights of criteria in a number of fields [14-18]. However, up to now, this method has not been used to determine the weights for the criteria of motorcycles. This is also the reason that it was used in this study.

# II. PIPRECIA METHOD

The PIPRECIA method was used to calculate the weights of the criteria in the following order [13]:

Step 1: Select experts to ask for their opinion on the importance of the criteria.

Step 2: Each expert will determine the relative importance of the criteria  $s_{j}$ , starting from the second criterion, according to (1).

$$s_{j} = \begin{cases} > 1 \text{ when } C_{j} > C_{j-1} \\ 1 \text{ when } C_{j} = C_{j-1} \\ < 1 \text{ when } C_{i} < C_{i-1} \end{cases}$$
(1)

Step 3: For each expert, determine the coefficient kj according to formula (2).

$$k_j = \begin{cases} 1 & j = 1 \\ 2 - s_j & j > 1 \end{cases}$$
(2)

Step 4: Determine the recalculated weight of the criteria according to formula (3).

$$q_{j} = \begin{cases} 1 & j = 1 \\ \frac{q_{j-1}}{k_{j}} & j > 1 \end{cases}$$
(3)

Step 5: Calculate the weight of the criteria according to the opinion of each expert according to formula (4).

$$w_j = \frac{q_j}{\sum_{k=1}^n q_k} \tag{4}$$

Step 6: Calculate the weight of the criteria according to two formulas (5) and (6). Where K is the number of experts, the index r represents the  $r^{th}$  expert.

$$w_j^* = \left(\prod_{r=1}^K w_j^r\right)^{1/K}$$
(5)

$$w_{j} = \frac{w_{j}^{*}}{\sum_{j=1}^{n} w_{j}^{*}}$$
(6)

#### **II. FUCA METHOD**

The steps to rank alternatives according to the FUCA method include [5, 6]:

Step 1: Rank the alternatives for each criterion  $(r_{ij})$ . Suppose there are *m* alternatives, the worst one will be ranked *m*, otherwise the best one will be ranked 1.

Step 2: Calculate the score for each option according to formula (7).

$$D_{i} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} r_{ij} \cdot w_{j}$$
(7)

Step 3: Rank the alternatives according to the value of  $D_i$ . The best solution is the one with the smallest Di, and vice versa.

# **IV. CHOOSING A MOTORBIKE**

In Table 1 are the parameters of six types of motorcycles [19]. In which the criteria have been arranged in descending order of priority based on the survey of six experts. Six types of motorcycles with corresponding product codes are Honda Wave Alpha 110 (A1), Honda Blade 110 (A2), 2021 Honda Beat CBS (A3), Honda Wave RSX FI 110 (A4), Honda Future 125 FI (A5), and Honda Genio (A6).

Table 1.	Types of	motorcycles	[19]
----------	----------	-------------	------

No.	C1	C2	C3	C4	C5	C6	C7	C8	C9	C10	C11
A1	18.39	1.68	3.7	5500	8.44	97	769	1914	688	1075	97
A2	21.3	1.85	3.7	5500	8.65	109.1	769	1920	702	1075	98
A3	26.44	1.65	6.5	5500	9.3	110	740	1877	669	1074	89
A4	24.63	1.7	4	6000	8.7	109.1	760	1921	709	1081	100
A5	31.51	1.54	4.6	5500	10.2	110	756	1931	711	1083	105
A6	28.47	1.69	6.5	5500	9.3	110	740	1256	692	1061	89
Туре	Min	Min	Max	Max	Max	Max	Max	Max	Max	Max	Max

The meaning of the criteria is as follows:

C1: is the price (dong million);

C2: is the fuel consumption (litter/100km);

C3: is the fuel tank capacity (littre);

C4: is the maximum torque (RPM);

C5: is the maximum torque (Nm);

C6: is the weight (kg);

C7: is the saddle length (mm);

C8: is the vehicle length (mm);

C9: is the vehicle width (mm);

C10: is the vehicle height (mm);

C11: is the capacity (cc).

The relative importance of the criteria was determined by a survey of experts. The results of the survey are summarized in Table 2.

Applying formulas from (2) to (6) has determined the weight of the criteria as shown in table 2.

#### Table 2. Expert opinion on the relative importance of s<sub>i</sub> criteria

Criteria	Expert 1	Expert 2	Expert 3	Expert 4	Expert 5	Expert 6
C1						
C2	0.99	0.98	1	0.98	0.94	1.1
C3	0.99	0.97	0.98	0.99	0.99	0.99
C4	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.97
C5	0.98	0.99	0.99	0.99	0.99	0.99
C6	0.92	0.92	0.95	0.96	0.96	0.93
C7	0.98	0.98	0.98	0.98	0.98	0.98
C8	0.99	0.98	0.99	0.92	0.89	0.95
C9	0.99	0.98	0.98	0.93	0.86	0.9
C10	0.95	0.95	0.96	0.99	0.85	0.8
C11	0.94	0.99	0.99	0.98	0.85	0.88

Table 2.	Weight of	Criteria
----------	-----------	----------

C1	C2	C3	C4	C5	C6	C7	C8	C9	C10	C11
0.1043	0.1042	0.1027	0.0981	0.0970	0.0915	0.0897	0.0858	0.0810	0.0749	0.0707

	Table 5. Ranking of alternatives for each chienon										
No.	C1	C2	C3	C4	C5	C6	C7	C8	C9	C10	C11
A1	1	3	5.5	4	6	6	1.5	4	5	3.5	4
A2	2	6	5.5	4	5	4.5	1.5	3	3	3.5	3
A3	4	2	1.5	4	2.5	2	5.5	5	6	5	5.5
A4	3	5	4	1	4	4.5	3	2	2	2	2
A5	6	1	3	4	1	2	4	1	1	1	1
A6	5	4	15	4	25	2	55	6	4	6	55

Table 3. Ranking of alternatives for each criterion

Step 1 of the FUCA method was used to rank the alternatives against each criterion. The results are presented in Table 3.

Step 2 of the FUCA method was used to calculate the score for each option (Equation (7)), the results are summarized in Table 4. The results of the ranking of alternatives (types of motorbikes) have also been evaluated. summarized in this table.

Table 4. Score of eac	h type	of motorcycle	and
rank	them		

Di	Rank
3.9332245	5
3.7975074	4
3.7694923	3
3.03685033	2
2.38196607	1
4.08095941	6
	Di 3.9332245 3.7975074 3.7694923 3.03685033 2.38196607 4.08095941

Looking at Table 4, the ranking order of motorcycles is as follows: A5 > A4 > A3 > A2 > A1 > A6. Therefore, the Honda Future 125 FI is the best of the six motorcycles that have been reviewed.

# **V. CONCLUSION**

The ranking of the alternatives to determine the best option for motorcycles was first carried out in this study. Two methods including PIPRECIA and FUCA were applied to accomplish that task. Six types of motorcycles were included for consideration in this study: Honda Wave Alpha 110, Honda Blade 110, 2021 Honda Beat CBS, Honda Wave RSX FI 110, Honda Future 125 FI, and Honda Genio (A6). The ranking results of the options have shown that the Honda Future 125 FI is the best choice.

# REFERENCE

[1]. Constantin Zopounidis, Michael Doumpos, *Multiple Criteria Decision Making - Applications in Management and Engineering*, Springer, 2017, https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-39292-9

[2]. Mahmut Baydaş, Orhan Emre Elma, Dragan Pamučar, *Exploring the specific capacity of different multi criteria decision making approaches under uncertainty using data from financial markets*, Expert Systems with Applications, Vol. 197, Art. No. 116755, 2022.

[3]. Trinh Van Huy, Nguyen Quang Quyet, Vu Huu Binh, Tran Minh Hoang, Nguyen Thi Thuy Tien, , Le Tuan Anh, Dao Thi Nga, Nguyen Quoc Doan, Pham Hoang Tu, Do Duc Trung, *Multi - Criteria Decision - Making for electric bicycle selection*, Advanced Engineering Letters, Vol. 1, No. 4, 126-135, 2022.

[4]. Do Duc Trung, *Development of data normalization methods for multi-criteria decision making: applying for MARCOS method*, Manufacturing Review, Vol. 9, Art. No. 22, 2022.

[5]. Morales Mendoza Luis Fernando, Jose Luis Perez Escobedo, Catherine Azzaro-Pantel, Luc Pibouleau, Serge Domenech, Alberto Aguilar-Lasserre, *Selecting the best alternative based on a hybrid multiobjective GA-MCDM approach for new product development in the pharmaceutical industry*, IEEE Symposium on Computational Intelligence in Multicriteria Decision-Making (MDCM), April – 2011. [6]. Duc Trung Do, Application of FUCA method for multi-criteria

*decision making in mechanical machining*, Operational Research in Engineering Sciences: Theory and Applications, Vol. 5, No. 3, 131-152, 2022.

[7]. Mahmut Baydas, The effect of pandemic conditions on financial success rankings of BIST SME industrial companies: a different evaluation with the help of comparison of special capabilities of MOORA, MABAC and FUCA methods, Business & Management Studies: An International Journal, Vol. 10, No. 1, 245-260.

[8]. Mahmut Baydas, *Comparison of the Performances* of *MCDM Methods under Uncertainty: An Analysis on Bist SME Industry Index*, OPUS – Journal of Society Research, Vol. 19, No. 46, 308-326, 2022.

[9]. Mahmut Baydas, Dragan Pamucar, Determining Objective Characteristics of MCDM Methods under Uncertainty: An Exploration Study with Financial Data, Mathematics, Vol. 10, No. 7, 1-25, 2022.

[10]. Mahmut Baydas, Orhan Emre Elma, Dragan Pamucar, *Exploring the specific capacity of different multi criteria decision making approaches under uncertainty using data from financial markets*, Expert Systems with Applications, Vol. 197, 2022.

[11]. Adama Ouattara, Luc Pibouleau, Catherine Azzaro-Pantel, Serge Domenech, Philippe Baudet, Benjamin Yao, *Economic and environmental strategies for process design*, Computers & Chemical Engineering, Vol. 36, No. 10, 174-188, 2012.

[12]. Do Duc Trung, Nguyen Xuan Truong, Hoang Xuan Thinh, *Combined PIPRECIA method and modified FUCA method for selection of lathe*, Journal of Applied Engineering Science, Vol. 20, No. 4, 1355-1365, 2022.

[13]. S. Dragisa, Z. Edmundas Kazimieras, K. Darjan, S. Florentin, T. Zenonas, *The use of the Plvot Pairwise RElative Criteria Importance Assessment method for determining the weights of criteria*, Romanian Journal of Economic Forecasting, Vol. 20, No. 4, 116-133, 2017.

[14]. S. Dragisa, K. Darjan, P. Gabrijela, *Ranking alternatives using PIPRECIA method: A case of hotels' website evaluation*, Journal of Process Management and New Technologies, Vol. 9, No. 3-4, 62-68, 2021.

[15]. Adis Puska, Admir Beganovic, Ilija Stojanovic, Saso Murtic, *Green supplier's selection using economic and environmental criteria in medical industry*, Environment, Development and Sustainability, 1-22, 2022.

[16]. Kristina Jaukovic Jocic, Darjan Karabasevic, Goran Jocic, *The use of the PIPRECIA method for assessing the quality of e-learning materials*, Ekonomika, Vol. 66, No. 3, 37-45, 2020.

[17]. Karabasevic Darjan, Popovic Gabrijela, Stanujkic Dragisa, Maksimovic Mladja, Sava Cipriana, *An approach for hotel type selection based on the Single-Valued Intuitionistic Fuzzy Numbers*, International Review, Vol. 2019, No. 1-2, 7-14, 2019.

[18]. Alptekin Ulutas, Gabrijela Popovic, Dragisa Stanujkic, Darjan Karabasevic, Edmundas Kazimieras Zavadskas, Zenonas Turskis, *A New Hybrid MCDM Model for Personnel Selection Based on a Novel Grey PIPRECIA and Grey OCRA Methods*, Mathematics, Vol. 8, No. 10, 1-14, 2020.

[19]. https://www.autofun.vn/xe-may/honda