

Gradient Estimates for Weak Solutions to Nonhomogeneous Elliptic Equations under Natural Growth

YANAN ZHANG

College of Science
 North China University of
 Science and Technology
 Hebei Tangshan, China

XINRU WANG

College of Science
 North China University of
 Science and Technology
 Hebei Tangshan, China

YUXIA TONG*

College of Science
 North China University of
 Science and Technology
 Hebei Tangshan, China
 *Corresponding Author
 tongyuxia@126.com

Abstract—This paper deals with the gradient estimates for weak solutions to a class of nonhomogeneous elliptic equations under natural growth. Final estimates for such equation under the natural growth are derived by choosing the appropriate test function and other methods.

Keywords—under natural growth; weak solution; gradient estimate

I. INTRODUCTION

Let's consider the weak solution of the following general nonhomogeneous elliptic equation

$$\operatorname{div}A(x, \nabla u) = B(x, \nabla u) + \operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u), \quad (1.1)$$

where $1 < p < +\infty$, Ω is a bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^n ($n \geq 2$) and $A = A(x, \xi) : \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is assumed to be a Carathéodory vectorial-valued function which is measurable in x for each ξ and continuous in ξ for almost everywhere x . Moreover, for given $p \in (1, \infty)$ the structural conditions on the function A are given as follows:

$$\langle A(x, \xi), \xi \rangle \geq C_1 |\xi|^p; \quad (1.2)$$

$$|A(x, \xi)| \leq C_2 |\xi|^{p-1}, \quad (1.3)$$

for all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n, x \in \Omega$, and some positive constants $C_i > 0, i = 1, 2$. The nonhomogeneous term $B = B(x, \xi) : \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ satisfies the natural growth condition:

$$|B(x, \xi)| \leq C_3 |\xi|^p. \quad (1.4)$$

As usual, the solution of (1.1) is taken in a weak sense. The definition of weak solution is given as follows.

Definition 1.1. A function $u \in W_{loc}^{1,p}(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$ is a local weak solution of (1.1) if A and B satisfy the

conditions (1.2)-(1.4), and for any $\varphi \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$ with compact support, one has

$$\int_{\Omega} \langle A(x, \nabla u), \nabla \varphi \rangle dx = \int_{\Omega} B(x, \nabla u) \varphi dx + \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u, \nabla \varphi dx. \quad (1.5)$$

E. Acerbi and G. Mingione^[1] obtained $L^{p(x)}$ -type gradient estimates for weak solutions of quasilinear elliptic equation of p -Laplacian type

$$\operatorname{div}(|Du|^{p-2} Du) = \operatorname{div}(|F|^{p-2} F);$$

S. S. Byun and L. Wang^[2] obtained $W^{1,p}$, $2 \leq p < \infty$, regularity for weak solutions of the general nonlinear elliptic problem

$$\operatorname{div}_x(\nabla u, x) = \operatorname{div} f;$$

F. Yao^[3] obtained gradient estimates in Orlicz spaces for weak solutions of A -harmonic equations under the assumptions that A satisfies some proper conditions and the given function satisfies some moderate growth conditions. G. Li^[4] considered the regularity for weak solutions of the A -harmonic equations

$$-\operatorname{div}A(x, \nabla u) + B(x, \nabla u) = 0;$$

Recently, Q. Zhao^[5] obtained the regularity for very weak solutions of the nonhomogeneous A -harmonic equations

$$-\operatorname{div}A(x, u, Du) = B(x, Du).$$

The purpose of this paper is to study a class of A -harmonic equations which have both p -laplacian type and nonhomogeneous terms and to consider the L^p -type estimates for such equation under natural growth. Here, our approach is based on the paper^[6] in which the L^p -type estimates were derived under natural growth.

Now the main result of this paper will be stated as follows.

Theorem 1.1. Suppose $B_{2R} \subset \Omega$ and $u \in W_{loc}^{1,p}(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$ is the weak solution of equation (1.1) under assumptions (1.2)-(1.4), then the following estimation holds

$$\int_{B_R} |\nabla u|^p dx \leq C \int_{B_{2R}} |u - u_R|^p dx. \quad (1.6)$$

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. Section 2 is devoted to introduce some useful lemmas. Section 3 focus on proving our main theorem.

II. TECHNICAL TOOLS

In this section some basic inequalities and lemmas needed in proving the main conclusion will be introduced.

Lemma 2.1^[7] (Young's inequality) Suppose that $a > 0, b > 0, p > 1, q > 1$, and $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$, then

$$ab \leq \frac{a^p}{p} + \frac{b^q}{q}.$$

In particularly, the above inequality is the Cauchy inequality if $p = q = 2$.

Suppose that $\varepsilon > 0$, a and b are replaced by $\varepsilon^{1/p} a$ and $\varepsilon^{-1/p} b$ respectively in the above inequality, then one has the following lemma.

Lemma 2.2^[7] (Young's inequality with ε) Suppose that $a > 0, b > 0, p > 1, q > 1$, and $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$, then

$$ab \leq \frac{\varepsilon a^p}{p} + \frac{\varepsilon^{-q/p} b^q}{q} \leq \varepsilon a^p + \varepsilon^{-q/p} b^q.$$

In particularly, the above inequality is the Cauchy inequality with ε if $p = q = 2$.

Moreover, we give the following lemma.

Lemma 2.3^[8] Suppose $f(\tau)$ is a nonnegative bounded function defined on $0 \leq R_0 \leq t \leq R_1$ if one has

$$f(\tau) \leq A(t - \tau)^{-\alpha} + B + \theta f(t)$$

for $R_0 \leq \tau < t \leq R_1$, where A, B, α, θ are nonnegative constants, and $\theta < 1$, then there exists a constant C that only depends on α and θ , such that for all $\rho, R, R_0 \leq \rho < R \leq R_1$, one has

$$f(\rho) \leq C [A(R - \rho)^{-\alpha} + B].$$

III. PROOF OF MAIN RESULT

Taking $\varphi = (u - u_{2R}) e^{\beta|u - u_{2R}|} \eta^p$ (β is determined later) as a test function in Definition 1.1, where $u_{2R} = \frac{1}{|B_{2R}|} \int_{B_{2R}} u dx$, $\eta \in C_0^\infty(\square^n)$ is a cutoff function satisfying

$$0 \leq \eta \leq 1, \eta \equiv 1 \text{ in } B_R, \eta \equiv 0 \text{ in } \square^n \setminus B_{2R}, |\nabla \eta| \leq \frac{C}{R}. \quad (3.1)$$

Then one has

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} \langle A(x, \nabla u), \nabla \varphi \rangle dx &= \int_{\Omega} B(x, \nabla u) \varphi dx \\ &+ \int_{\Omega} \langle |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u, \nabla \varphi \rangle dx. \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

And thus

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\Omega} \langle A(x, \nabla u) - |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u, e^{\beta|u - u_{2R}|} \eta^p \nabla u \rangle dx \\ &+ \int_{\Omega} \langle A(x, \nabla u), \beta |u - u_{2R}| e^{\beta|u - u_{2R}|} \eta^p \nabla u \rangle dx \\ &= - \int_{\Omega} \langle A(x, \nabla u), p(u - u_{2R}) e^{\beta|u - u_{2R}|} \eta^{p-1} \nabla \eta \rangle dx \\ &+ \int_{\Omega} \langle |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u, \beta |u - u_{2R}| e^{\beta|u - u_{2R}|} \eta^p \nabla u \rangle dx \\ &+ \int_{\Omega} \langle |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u, p(u - u_{2R}) e^{\beta|u - u_{2R}|} \eta^{p-1} \nabla \eta \rangle dx \\ &+ \int_{\Omega} B(x, \nabla u) \cdot (u - u_{2R}) e^{\beta|u - u_{2R}|} \eta^p dx. \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

Using (1.2), it yields

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\Omega} \langle A(x, \nabla u) - |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u, e^{\beta|u - u_{2R}|} \eta^p \nabla u \rangle dx \\ &+ \int_{\Omega} \langle A(x, \nabla u), \beta |u - u_{2R}| e^{\beta|u - u_{2R}|} \eta^p \nabla u \rangle dx \\ &\geq C_1 \int_{\Omega} e^{\beta|u - u_{2R}|} |\eta \nabla u|^p dx \\ &+ C_1 \beta \int_{\Omega} |u - u_{2R}| e^{\beta|u - u_{2R}|} |\eta \nabla u|^p dx. \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

Moreover, by (1.3), (1.4) and Young's inequality with ε , one has

$$\begin{aligned} &- \int_{\Omega} \langle A(x, \nabla u), p \eta^{p-1} (u - u_{2R}) e^{\beta|u - u_{2R}|} \nabla \eta \rangle dx \\ &+ \int_{\Omega} \langle |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u, \beta |u - u_{2R}| e^{\beta|u - u_{2R}|} \eta^p \nabla u \rangle dx \\ &+ \int_{\Omega} \langle |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u, p(u - u_{2R}) e^{\beta|u - u_{2R}|} \eta^{p-1} \nabla \eta \rangle dx \\ &+ \int_{\Omega} B(x, \nabla u) \cdot (u - u_{2R}) e^{\beta|u - u_{2R}|} \eta^p dx \\ &\leq p C_2 \int_{\Omega} |\eta \nabla u|^{p-1} |u - u_{2R}| e^{\beta|u - u_{2R}|} |\nabla \eta| dx \\ &+ \beta \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p |u - u_{2R}| e^{\beta|u - u_{2R}|} \eta^p dx \\ &+ p \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{p-1} \eta^{p-1} |u - u_{2R}| e^{\beta|u - u_{2R}|} |\nabla \eta| dx \\ &+ C_3 \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p |u - u_{2R}| e^{\beta|u - u_{2R}|} \eta^p dx \\ &= C \int_{\Omega} \left| e^{\frac{\beta|u - u_{2R}|}{p}} \eta \nabla u \right|^{p-1} |u - u_{2R}| e^{\frac{\beta|u - u_{2R}|}{p}} |\nabla \eta| dx \\ &+ (\beta + C_3) \int_{\Omega} |u - u_{2R}| e^{\beta|u - u_{2R}|} |\eta \nabla u|^p dx \\ &\leq C \left\{ \varepsilon \int_{\Omega} e^{\beta|u - u_{2R}|} |\eta \nabla u|^p dx \right. \\ &\quad \left. + C(\varepsilon) \int_{\Omega} e^{\beta|u - u_{2R}|} |(u - u_{2R}) \nabla \eta|^p dx \right\} \\ &+ (\beta + C_3) \int_{\Omega} |u - u_{2R}| e^{\beta|u - u_{2R}|} |\eta \nabla u|^p dx. \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

where $C = C(C_2, p)$. Putting (3.1), (3.3) and (3.5) together yields

$$\begin{aligned} & C_1 \int_{\Omega} e^{\beta|u-u_{2R}|} |\eta \nabla u|^p dx \\ & + C_1 \beta \int_{\Omega} |u - u_{2R}| e^{\beta|u-u_{2R}|} |\eta \nabla u|^p dx \\ \leq & (pC_2 + p) \left\{ \varepsilon \int_{\Omega} e^{\beta|u-u_{2R}|} |\eta \nabla u|^p dx \right. \\ & \left. + C(\varepsilon) \int_{\Omega} e^{\beta|u-u_{2R}|} |(u - u_{2R}) \nabla \eta|^p dx \right\} \\ & + (\beta + C_3) \int_{\Omega} |u - u_{2R}| e^{\beta|u-u_{2R}|} |\eta \nabla u|^p dx. \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

Let β satisfy $C_1 \beta > \beta + C_3$, it yields

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} e^{\beta|u-u_{2R}|} |\eta \nabla u|^p dx \\ \leq & C \varepsilon \int_{\Omega} e^{\beta|u-u_{2R}|} |\eta \nabla u|^p dx \\ & + C(\varepsilon) \int_{\Omega} e^{\beta|u-u_{2R}|} |(u - u_{2R}) \nabla \eta|^p dx \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

Due to the natural growth condition and $u \in L^\infty(\Omega)$, there exists a large enough positive constant M , such that $|u| \leq M$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_R} |\nabla u|^p dx & \leq C \varepsilon \int_{B_{2R}} |\nabla u|^p dx \\ & + \frac{C(\varepsilon)}{R^p} \int_{B_{2R}} |u - u_{2R}|^p dx. \end{aligned}$$

Selecting a small enough constant $0 < \varepsilon < 1$, and recalling the Lemma 2.3, it yields

$$\int_{B_R} |\nabla u|^p dx \leq C \int_{B_{2R}} |u - u_{2R}|^p dx,$$

where $C = C(C_1, C_2, C_3, \beta, p, M)$. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1.

REFERENCES

- [1] E. Acerbi and G. Mingione, "Gradient estimates for the $p(x)$ -Laplacean system," *Journal fur die Reine und Angewandte Mathematik*, 584(2005), 117-148.
- [2] S. S. Byun and L. Wang, " L^p -estimates for general nonlinear elliptic equations," *Indiana University Mathematics Journal*, 56(6), (2007), 3193-3222.
- [3] F. Yao, "Gradient estimates for weak solutions of A -Harmonic equations," *Journal of Inequalities and Applications*, 2010(1), (2010), 685046.

[4] G. Li, "Researches on several classes of nonhomogeneous A -harmonic equations," Harbin: Harbin Institute of Technology, 2013.

[5] Q. Zhao, "The regularity for weak solutions of A -harmonic equations," *Journal of Minnan Normal University*, 31(1), (2018), 1-11.

[6] S. Zheng, X. Zheng and Z. Feng, "Regularity for a class of degenerate elliptic equations with discontinuous coefficients under natural growth," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, 346(2), (2008), 359-373.

[7] Z. Wu, J. Yin and C. Wang, "Introduction to Elliptic and Parabolic Equation," Beijing: Sinence Press, 2009.

[8] H. Gao and M. Jia, "Local regularity and local boundedness for solutions to obstacle problems," *Acta Mathematica Scientia*, 37(4), (2017), 706-713.