HYBRID OF POWER SERIES EXPANSION AND ONE-TIME SEEDED SECANT APPROXIMATION SOLUTION TO KEPLER'S EQUATION APPLICABLE TO SATELLITE WITH KEPLERIAN ORBITS

Akpasam Joseph Ekanem¹

Department of Electrical and Electronic Engineering, Akwa Ibom State University Mkpat Enin, Akwa Ibom State, Nigeria

Abstract- In this paper, a hybrid of power series expansion and one-time seeded secant approximation solution to Kepler's equation applicable to satellite with keplerian orbits is presented. Specifically, the estimation error of an existing non-iterative power series expansion method for computing the eccentricity anomaly, E keplerian orbits is considered and the estimation error performance is enhanced by introducing a one-time seeded secant approximation. The results show that for eccentricity, e = 0.093, at mean anomaly, M=3 degree, the estimation error of E for the power series expansion solution is -6.43×10^{-10} whereas when the result of the power series expansion is enhanced by the onetime seeded secant approximation the estimation error is -1.05 $x10^{-22}$. In all the various values of M considered, the enhanced version had significant reduction in the estimation error.

Keywords— Power Series Expansion, Keplerian Orbits, Estimation Error Performance, Seeded Secant, Kepler's Equation, Eccentricity Anomaly

1. Introduction

In the telecommunication industry, determination of the spatio-temporal location of satellites in their orbits is very essential [1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11]. Accordingly, Kepler provided equation that can be used to determine some of the key parameters for defining the spatio-temporal location of the satellite in their orbits. Particularly, Kepler's equation is a transcendental expression for computing eccentricity anomaly (E) of a satellite when the mean motion (M) or the satellite and the eccentricity of the orbit are known [12,13,14,15,16,17]. Generally, iterative solution to Kepler's transcendental equation is more popular. However, researchers has over the years tried to develop the Kepler's non-iterative solution to equation [18,19,20,21,22,23].

Specifically, in this paper, an existing non-iterative solution approach to Kepler's equation is examined and modified to improve on the accuracy of its results. The original method used power series expansion [19] to determine the solution for E when M and e are given. However, given the limited accuracy level of the results obtained from such approach when compared with the results obtained from iterative solution, in this paper, a onetime seeded secant computation [24,25] is performed on the output of the power series expansion solution. By doing so, the accuracy of the results obtained is greatly improved. Some numerical examples are

Ogungbemi Emmanuel Oluropo²

Dept. of Electrical/Electronic & Computer Engineering, University of Uyo, Nigeria Israel Sylvester Umana³

Dept. of Electrical/Electronic & Computer Engineering, University of Uyo, Nigeria

used to demonstrate the effectiveness of the proposed method.

2. Methodology

2.1 The power series expansion solution

Kepler's equation for Keplerian orbit is given as;

$$E = M + eSin(E)$$
(1)

Where E is the eccentricity anomaly, e is the eccentricity of the orbit and M is the mean anomaly. In order to solve the Kepler's equation without iterative approach, Mikkola [19] used a series expansion method along with other approaches to arrive at the value of E for any given values of M and e. Particularly, Mikkola [19] introduced the variable, s and presented the series expansion of sin(s) as follows;

$$s = \sin\left(\frac{E}{3}\right) \qquad (2)$$

$$\sin^{-1}(s) = \frac{M e(3s - 4s^3)}{3} \tag{3}$$

An 11th order power series approximation of $\sin^{-1}(s)$ by Mikkola [19] is given as:

$$\sin^{-1}(s) \approx s + \frac{s^3}{6} + \frac{3s^5}{40} + \frac{5s^7}{112} + \frac{35s^9}{1152} + \frac{63s^{11}}{2816} + \cdots$$
(4)

A third order truncation of the power series approximation is given by Mikkola [19] as:

$$M = 3(1-e)s_0 + \left(4e + \frac{1}{2}\right)s_0^3$$
(5)

$$\alpha = \frac{1}{4e + \frac{1}{2}} \tag{6}$$

$$\beta = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \frac{M}{4e + \frac{1}{2}} \tag{7}$$

$$z^{2} = \left(\beta + \sqrt{\alpha^{3} + \beta^{2}}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \qquad (8)$$

$$S_0 = \frac{1}{z^2 + \alpha + \frac{\alpha^2}{z^2}}$$
(9)

$$S = S_0 \left[1 - \frac{0.07925(S_0^{-1})}{1+e} \right]$$
(10)

$$S = \sin\left(\frac{-6}{3}\right) \tag{11}$$

 $E = 3(sin^{-1}(S)) \tag{12}$

2.2 The one time seeded secant approximation of E In order to improve on the accuracy of E obtained from the power series expansion solution presented by Mikkola [19], a seeded secant iteration formula is applied once to E. In

this case, the starting point is the value of E obtained from the power series expansion solution presented by Mikkola [19], as follows;

 $\dot{E}_0 = 3(sin^{-1}(S)) \tag{13}$

$$\acute{E}_1 = M + e\bigl(Sin(\acute{E}_0)\bigr) \qquad (14)$$

$$\dot{E}_{0f} = \dot{E}_0 - \dot{E}_1 \tag{15}$$

$$\dot{E}_{1f} = \dot{E}_1 - (M + e(Sin(\dot{E}_1)))$$
 (16)

$$E = \frac{\dot{E}_0(f(\dot{E}_1)) - \dot{E}_1(f(\dot{E}_0))}{\dot{E}_{1f} - \dot{E}_{0f}}$$
(17)

$$f(E) = E - M + e(Sin(E))$$
(18)

3. Results and Discussion

The results of the computation S, E', E, Eact versus M in degree for e = 0.093 are presented in Table 1, Table 2 and Figure 1, where Eact is the actual value of E obtained through fixed point iteration. The results show that for e = 0.093, at M=3 degree, the estimation error for the power series expansion solution is -6.43E-10 whereas when the result of the power series expansion is enhanced by the onetime seeded secant approximation the estimation error is -1.05E-22. In all the various values of M considered in Table 1, Table 2 and Figure 1, the enhanced version had significant reduction in the estimation error.

The results of the computation S, E', E, Eact versus M in degree for e = 0.53 are presented in Table 3 , Table 4 and Figure 2. The results show that for e = 0.53, at M=3 degree, the estimation error for the power series expansion solution

is 6.7451E-04 and when the result of the power series expansion is enhanced by the onetime seeded secant approximation the estimation error is also 6.7451E-04. However, as the values of M increases in Table 3, Table 4 and Figure 2, the enhanced version had significant reduction in the estimation error.

The results of the computation S, E', E, Eact versus M in degree for e = 0.993 are presented in Table 5, Table 6 and Figure 3. The results show that for e = 0.993, at M=3 degree, the estimation error for the power series expansion solution is -4.9967E-04 and when the result of the power series expansion is enhanced by the onetime seeded secant approximation the estimation error is 3.3527E-05. Again, as the values of M increases in Table 5, Table 6 and Figure 3, the enhanced version had significant reduction in the estimation error.

Table 1 The results of the computation	S, E',	, E, Eact vers	sus M in degree	e for e = 0.093
--	--------	----------------	-----------------	-----------------

e	М	М	S	E'	Е	Eact	Er	ror
Unit	Degree	Radian	Radian	Radian	Radian	Radian	Eact-E'	Eact-E
0.093	3	0.05236	0.019240598	0.057725	5.77E-02	0.057725	-6.43E-10	-1.05E-22
0.093	13	0.226893	0.083201214	0.249893	2.50E-01	0.249892	-9.18E-07	-7.16E-16
0.093	23	0.401426	0.146519976	0.441148	4.41E-01	0.441133	-1.46E-05	-3.90E-13
0.093	33	0.575959	0.208750229	0.630891	6.31E-01	0.63081	-8.06E-05	-1.45E-11
0.093	43	0.750492	0.269511247	0.818656	8.18E-01	0.818386	-2.71E-04	-1.69E-10
0.093	53	0.925025	0.328496747	1.004135	1.00E+00	1.003454	-6.80E-04	-9.54E-10
0.093	63	1.099557	0.385472084	1.187158	1.19E+00	1.185748	-1.41E-03	-3.10E-09
0.093	73	1.27409	0.440264168	1.367679	1.37E+00	1.36513	-2.55E-03	-6.69E-09
0.093	83	1.448623	0.492748272	1.545736	1.54E+00	1.541584	-4.15E-03	-3.03E-08
0.093	93	1.623156	0.542834894	1.721427	1.72E+00	1.715188	-6.24E-03	5.31E-08

Table 2 The results of the computation for Log of Error versus M in degree for e = 0.093

	Log of	Error	
M in degree	LOG(Eact - E')	LOG(Eact – E)	
3	-9.1917	-21.9788	
13	-6.03735	-15.1451	
23	-4.83479	-12.4089	
33	-4.09358	-10.8394	
43	-3.56742	-9.77237	
53	-3.16733	-9.02035	
63	-2.85072	-8.50907	
73	-2.59377	-8.17472	
83	-2.38173 -7.51856		
93	-2.20492 -7.27491		



Figure 1 The graph of Log of Error versus M in degree for e = 0.093

e	М	М	S	E'	Е	Eact	Er	ror
Unit	Degree	Radian	Radian	Radian	Radian	Radian	Eact-E'	Eact-E
0.53	3	0.05236	0.037040236	0.111146	1.11E-01	0.111821	6.7451E-04	6.7455E-04
0.53	13	0.226893	0.154114474	0.464193	4.64E-01	0.464169	-2.4179E-05	1.1433E-05
0.53	23	0.401426	0.254169408	0.770966	7.71E-01	0.770643	-3.2380E-04	3.3533E-05
0.53	33	0.575959	0.337147006	1.031654	1.03E+00	1.03046	-1.1944E-03	1.0122E-05
0.53	43	0.750492	0.406758472	1.256709	1.25E+00	1.254142	-2.5666E-03	5.9519E-07
0.53	53	0.925025	0.466371752	1.455554	1.45E+00	1.451241	-4.3128E-03	-3.1706E-07
0.53	63	1.099557	0.518406573	1.63496	1.63E+00	1.62867	-6.2895E-03	3.2747E-07
0.53	73	1.27409	0.564538956	1.799624	1.79E+00	1.791262	-8.3617E-03	1.8245E-06
0.53	83	1.448623	0.605943631	1.952855	1.94E+00	1.94244	-1.0414E-02	3.6437E-06
0.53	93	1.623156	0.643464176	2.097046	2.08E+00	2.084696	-1.2350E-02	5.2394E-06

Table 3 The results of the computation S, E', E, Eact versus M in degree for e = 0.53

	Log of Error						
M in degree	LOG(Eact - E')	LOG(Eact – E)					
3	-3.17	-3.17					
13	-4.62	-4.94					
23	-3.49	-4.47					
33	-2.92	-4.99					
43	-2.59	-6.23					
53	-2.37	-6.50					
63	-2.20	-6.48					
73	-2.08	-5.74					
83	-1.98	-5.44					
93	-1.91	-5.28					

Table 4 The results of the computation for Log of Error versus M in degree for e = 0.53



Figure 2 The graph of Log of Error versus M in degree for e = 0.53

	= ++++=	e e ine i estai	to of the company	\cdots	9			
e	М	М	S	E'	Е	Eact	Eri	ror
Unit	Degree	Radian	Radian	Radian	Radian	Radian	Eact-E'	Eact-E
0.993	3	0.05236	0.220177354	0.665989	6.65E-01	0.665489	-4.9967E-04	3.3527E-05
0.993	13	0.226893	0.365879183	1.123732	1.12E+00	1.121446	-2.2859E-03	2.2447E-04
0.993	23	0.401426	0.443947304	1.379997	1.38E+00	1.375605	-4.3918E-03	4.2517E-05
0.993	33	0.575959	0.501270777	1.5752	1.57E+00	1.568957	-6.2433E-03	2.4612E-08
0.993	43	0.750492	0.547664283	1.73871	1.73E+00	1.730802	-7.9084E-03	-4.6666E-07

Table 5 The results of the com	outation S. E'. F	E. Eact versus M in degree	for $e = 0.993$
rubic o ruc results of the comp	julation by L , L	Luce verbus fit in degree	$101 \ C = 0.775$

0.993	53	0.925025	0.587100489	1.882417	1.87E+00	1.872958	-9.4587E-03	-5.0900E-05
0.993	63	1.099557	0.621631809	2.012473	2.00E+00	2.001574	-1.0899E-02	-1.7188E-04
0.993	73	1.27409	0.652473375	2.132531	2.12E+00	2.120374	-1.2157E-02	-3.0336E-04
0.993	83	1.448623	0.680411091	2.24497	2.23E+00	2.231817	-1.3153E-02	-3.7712E-04
0.993	93	1.623156	0.705985973	2.351443	2.34E+00	2.33759	-1.3853E-02	-3.6680E-04

Table 6 The results of the computation for Log of Error versus M in degree for e = 0.993

	Log of Error					
M in degree	LOG(Eact - E')	LOG(Eact – E)				
3	-3.30	-4.47				
13	-2.64	-3.65				
23	-2.36	-4.37				
33	-2.20	-7.61				
43	-2.10	-6.33				
53	-2.02	-4.29				
63	-1.96	-3.76				
73	-1.92	-3.52				
83	-1.88	-3.42				
93	-1.86	-3.44				



Figure 3 The graph of Log of Error versus M in degree for e = 0.993

4. Conclusion

A non-iterative approach to solve Kepler's equation for satellite with keplerian orbits is presented. The approach considered in the paper used onetime seeded secant method to enhance an already existing power series expansion solution result for estimating the eccentricity anomaly of keplerian orbits. The analytical expressions and algorithms for defining the power series expansion solution and the combined onetime seeded secant method and power series expansion solution are presented. The two methods were implemented in Matlab software and the results showed that in all the cases considered, the enhanced version had significant reduction in the estimation error when compared to the error obtained with the power series expansion solution alone.

References

1. Zehentner, N., & Mayer-Gürr, T. (2016). Precise orbit determination based on raw GPS measurements. Journal of Geodesy, 90(3), 275-286.

- Markley, F. L., & Crassidis, J. L. (2014). Fundamentals of spacecraft attitude determination and control (pp. 361-364). New York, NY, USA:: Springer New York.
- Sośnica, K. (2014). Determination of precise satellite orbits and geodetic parameters using satellite laser ranging. Astronomical Institute, University of Bern, Switzerland.
- 4. Ippolito Jr, L. J. (2017). Satellite communications systems engineering: atmospheric effects, satellite link design and system performance. John Wiley & Sons.
- Kalabić, U., Weiss, A., & Chiu, M. (2021, May). Orbit Verification of Small Sat Constellations. In 2021 IEEE International Conference on Blockchain and Cryptocurrency (ICBC) (pp. 1-5). IEEE.
- 6. Curry, J. M. (2015). A Web of Drones: A 2040 Strategy to Reduce the United States Dependance on Space Based Capabilities. AIR WAR COLL MAXWELL AFB AL.
- Dai, X., Ge, M., Lou, Y., Shi, C., Wickert, J., & Schuh, H. (2015). Estimating the yaw-attitude of BDS IGSO and MEO satellites. *Journal of Geodesy*, *89*(10), 1005-1018.
- 8. Lowrie, W., & Fichtner, A. (2020). *Fundamentals of geophysics*. Cambridge university press.
- Tzschichholz, T., Boge, T., & Schilling, K. (2015). Relative pose estimation of satellites using PMD-/CCD-sensor data fusion. *Acta Astronautica*, *109*, 25-33.
- 10. Cakaj, S., Kamo, B., Lala, A., & Rakipi, A. (2014). The coverage analysis for low earth orbiting satellites at low elevation. *International Journal of Advanced Computer Science and Applications*, *5*(6).
- 11. Li, X., Ge, M., Dai, X., Ren, X., Fritsche, M., Wickert, J., & Schuh, H. (2015). Accuracy and reliability of multi-GNSS real-time precise positioning: GPS, GLONASS, BeiDou, and Galileo. *Journal of Geodesy*, *89*(6), 607-635.
- López, R., Hautesserres, D., & San-Juan, J. F. (2018). The solution of the generalized Kepler's equation. *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society*, 473(2), 2583-2589.
- 13. Scheeres, D. J. (2016). Orbital motion in strongly perturbed environments: applications to asteroid, comet and planetary satellite orbiters. Springer.
- 14. Sánchez, M. A., Jilete, B., Setty, S. J., & Flohrer, T. EMPLOYING FAST ORBIT PREDICTION FOR OPTIMISATION OF SATELLITE VISIBILITY COMPUTATION.

- 15. Sánchez, M. A., Jilete, B., Setty, S. J., & Flohrer, T. EMPLOYING FAST ORBIT PREDICTION FOR OPTIMISATION OF SATELLITE VISIBILITY COMPUTATION.
- 16. Gazzino, C. (2017). *Dynamics of a geostationary satellite* (Doctoral dissertation, LAAS-CNRS).
- 17. Furber, R. D. (2014). Kepler accuracy model for co-periodic satellite separation extrema. *Celestial Mechanics and Dynamical Astronomy*, *118*(3), 273-289.
- 18. Esmaelzadeh, R., & Ghadiri, H. (2014). Appropriate starter for solving the Kepler's equation. *International Journal of Computer Applications*, 975, 8887.
- 19. Oltrogge, D. L. (2015). Efficient Solutions of Kepler's Equation via Hybrid and Digital Approaches. *The Journal of the Astronautical Sciences*, *62*(4), 271-297.
- 20. Ibrahim, R. H., & Saleh, A. R. H. (2019). Re-Evaluation Solution Methods for Kepler's Equation of an Elliptical Orbit. *Iraqi Journal of Science*, 2269-2279.
- Pulido, V. R., & Álvarez, J. P. (2016, March). An efficient code to solve the Kepler's equation for elliptic and hyperbolic orbits. In *International Conference on Astrodynamics Tools and Techniques.*
- 22. Turner, J.D., (2007) "A Non-Iterative Solution for Kepler's Equation," AAS 07-282, 2007 AAS/AIAA Astrodynamics Specialist
- 23. Mortari, D., & Clocchiatti, A. (2007). Solving Kepler's equation using Bézier curves. *Celestial Mechanics and Dynamical Astronomy*, 99(1), 45-57.
- 24. Simeon, O. (2017). Development Of Strict Differential Seeded Secant Numerical Iteration Method For Computing The Semi Major Axis Of A Perturbed Orbit Based On The Anomalistic Period. *Development*, *1*(8).
- 25. Simeon, O.(2015) Analysis Of Perturbance Coefficient-Based Seeded Secant Iteration Method. Vol. 2 Issue 1, January - 2015