

Two Extensions Of Discrete Carleman's Inequality

Yuanling Guo*

School of Mathematical Sciences, Jiangsu University, Zhenjiang, 212013, PR China

Abstract-This article re-examines the generalized forms of the two Carleman inequalities, discusses their correctness and error and the best constants in detail and obtains a correct and relatively complete conclusion.

Keywords—Carleman's Inequality; Best constant

I. Introduction

Carleman's inequality is actually the case of the power exponent $p \rightarrow +\infty$ of Hardy's inequality, and its discrete form is:

Lemma 1.1 [1]. Suppose $\{a_n\}$ is a sequence of

non-negative numbers, $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n < +\infty$, then

$$(1) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n)^{\frac{1}{n}} \leq e \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n;$$

(2) Inequality takes the equal sign if and only if $a_n = 0, n = 1, 2, \dots$;

(3) e is the best constant.

This inequality has the following two weighted extensions.

Lemma 1.2 [3]. Suppose $\{\lambda_n\}$ is a positive sequence, $\Lambda_n = \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \cdots + \lambda_n$. Let $\{a_n\}$ be a non-negative sequence,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n a_n < +\infty, \text{then}$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n (a_1^{\lambda_1} a_2^{\lambda_2} \cdots a_n^{\lambda_n})^{\frac{1}{\Lambda_n}} \leq e \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n a_n,$$

Among them, e is the best constant.

Lemma 1.3 [3]. Suppose $\{\lambda_n\}$ is a positive sequence, $\Lambda_n = \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \cdots + \lambda_n$. Let $\{a_n\}$ be a non-negative sequence.

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n a_n < +\infty, \text{then}$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\Lambda_n}{\lambda_n} (e^{\frac{\Lambda_n}{\lambda_n}} - 1) (a_1^{\lambda_1} a_2^{\lambda_2} \cdots a_n^{\lambda_n})^{\frac{1}{\Lambda_n}} \leq e \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n a_n,$$

Among them, e is the best constant.

The strange thing is that although the literature [3] claims e to be the best constant for the inequalities in Lemma 1.2 and 1.3, it does not give a proof. Although the monographs [1] and [2] both include Lemma 1.2, they do not say that e is the best constant.

If Lemma 1.3 is correct, take $a_n = \begin{cases} 1, & n = 1 \\ 0, & n > 1 \end{cases}$, then

$e - 1 \leq e \lambda_1$, that is $\lambda_1 \geq \frac{e-1}{e}$. But there is no such requirement in Lemma 1.3, so this lemma is wrong. In addition, when the monograph [2] records this inequality as

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n}{\lambda_n} (e^{\frac{\Lambda_n}{\lambda_n}} - 1) (a_1^{\lambda_1} a_2^{\lambda_2} \cdots a_n^{\lambda_n})^{\frac{1}{\Lambda_n}} \leq e \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n a_n,$$

it shows that e is the best constant. Take $a_n = \begin{cases} 1, & n=1 \\ 0, & n>1 \end{cases}$. We can also find the mistake by substituting it in the verification.

After in-depth study of these two inequalities, the correct conclusion is found.

II. Main conclusion

Theorem 2.1. Let $\{\lambda_n\}$ be a sequence of positive numbers, $\Lambda_n = \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \dots + \lambda_n, n=1, 2, \dots$. Let $\{a_n\}$ be a non-negative sequence,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n a_n < +\infty, \text{ then}$$

$$(1) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n (a_1^{\lambda_1} a_2^{\lambda_2} \cdots a_n^{\lambda_n})^{\frac{1}{\Lambda_n}} \leq e \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n a_n;$$

(2) Inequality takes the equal sign if and only if $a_n = 0, n=1, 2, \dots$;

(3) If $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_n}{\Lambda_n}$ converges, then e is not the best constant;

(4) If $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\lambda_n}{\Lambda_n} = 0$, but $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_n}{\Lambda_n}$ diverges, then e is the best constant;

$$(5) \text{ If } e \text{ is the best constant, then } \inf_{n \in N^+} \frac{\lambda_n}{\Lambda_n} = 0.$$

Theorem 2.2. Let $\{\lambda_n\}$ be a sequence of positive numbers, $\Lambda_n = \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \dots + \lambda_n, n=1, 2, \dots$. Let

$\{a_n\}$ be a non-negative sequence, $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n a_n < +\infty$, then

$$(1) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n (e^{\frac{\lambda_n}{\Lambda_n}} - 1) (a_1^{\lambda_1} a_2^{\lambda_2} \cdots a_n^{\lambda_n})^{\frac{1}{\Lambda_n}} \leq e \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n a_n;$$

(2) Inequality takes the equal sign if and only if $a_n = 0, n=1, 2, \dots$;

(3) If $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_n}{\Lambda_n}$ converges, then e is not the best constant;

(4) If $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\lambda_n}{\Lambda_n} = 0$, but $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_n}{\Lambda_n}$ diverges, then e is the best constant;

Note 1. The inequality in Theorem 2.2 is actually an enhanced version of the inequality in Theorem 2.1. Therefore, if e is the best constant in Theorem 2.1, it must also be the best constant in Theorem 2.2.

III . Proof of main conclusion

1. Proof of Theorem 2.1

(1) Take any positive sequence $\{c_n\}$, for any positive integer, according to the weighted mean inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (a_1^{\lambda_1} a_2^{\lambda_2} \cdots a_n^{\lambda_n})^{\frac{1}{\Lambda_n}} &= \left[\frac{(c_1 a_1)^{\lambda_1} (c_2 a_2)^{\lambda_2} \cdots (c_n a_n)^{\lambda_n}}{c_1^{\lambda_1} c_2^{\lambda_2} \cdots c_n^{\lambda_n}} \right]^{\frac{1}{\Lambda_n}} \\ &\leq \frac{\frac{\lambda_1}{\Lambda_n} c_1 a_1 + \frac{\lambda_2}{\Lambda_n} c_2 a_2 + \cdots + \frac{\lambda_n}{\Lambda_n} c_n a_n}{(c_1^{\lambda_1} c_2^{\lambda_2} \cdots c_n^{\lambda_n})^{\frac{1}{\Lambda_n}}} = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j c_j a_j}{\Lambda_n (c_1^{\lambda_1} c_2^{\lambda_2} \cdots c_n^{\lambda_n})^{\frac{1}{\Lambda_n}}} \end{aligned}$$

And the inequality takes the equal sign if and only if $c_1 a_1 = c_2 a_2 = \cdots = c_n a_n$. Therefore,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n (a_1^{\lambda_1} a_2^{\lambda_2} \cdots a_n^{\lambda_n})^{\frac{1}{\Lambda_n}} \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_n}{\Lambda_n (c_1^{\lambda_1} c_2^{\lambda_2} \cdots c_n^{\lambda_n})^{\frac{1}{\Lambda_n}}} \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j c_j a_j$$

Take $d_1 = 0$, $d_n \geq 0, n = 2, 3, \dots$, $c_n = e^{\sum_{j=1}^n d_j}$, then

$$= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j \left[c_j \sum_{n=j}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_n}{\Lambda_n (c_1^{\lambda_1} c_2^{\lambda_2} \cdots c_n^{\lambda_n})^{\frac{1}{\Lambda_n}}} \right] a_j$$

$$c_1 = 1,$$

$$c_1^{\lambda_1} c_2^{\lambda_2} \cdots c_n^{\lambda_n} = \prod_{i=1}^n c_i^{\lambda_i} = \prod_{i=1}^n (e^{\sum_{j=1}^i d_j})^{\lambda_i} = \prod_{i=1}^n e^{\lambda_i \sum_{j=1}^i d_j} = e^{\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \sum_{j=1}^i d_j}$$

Select appropriately $\{c_n\}$ so that

$$c_j \sum_{n=j}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_n}{\Lambda_n (c_1^{\lambda_1} c_2^{\lambda_2} \cdots c_n^{\lambda_n})^{\frac{1}{\Lambda_n}}} < e, j = 1, 2, 3, \dots, \text{then}$$

$$\sum_{n=j}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_n}{\Lambda_n (c_1^{\lambda_1} c_2^{\lambda_2} \cdots c_n^{\lambda_n})^{\frac{1}{\Lambda_n}}} < \frac{e}{c_j}, j = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

Further demand that $\{c_n\}$ is monotonous and undiminished. Let $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} c_n = c$, then $c \in (0, +\infty]$.

$$\text{If } c = +\infty, \text{ then } \sum_{n=j}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{c_n} - \frac{1}{c_{n+1}} \right) = \frac{1}{c_j}, j = 1, 2, \dots.$$

If $c < +\infty$, then

$$\sum_{n=j}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{c_n} - \frac{1}{c_{n+1}} \right) = \frac{1}{c_j} - \frac{1}{c} < \frac{1}{c_j}, j = 1, 2, \dots.$$

$$\text{Therefore, } \sum_{n=j}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{c_n} - \frac{1}{c_{n+1}} \right) \leq \frac{1}{c_j}, j = 1, 2, \dots. \text{ Choose}$$

the monotonous positive sequence to satisfy

$$\sum_{n=j}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_n}{\Lambda_n (c_1^{\lambda_1} c_2^{\lambda_2} \cdots c_n^{\lambda_n})^{\frac{1}{\Lambda_n}}} < e \sum_{n=j}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{c_n} - \frac{1}{c_{n+1}} \right), j = 1, 2, \dots$$

Then

$$\frac{\lambda_n}{\Lambda_n (c_1^{\lambda_1} c_2^{\lambda_2} \cdots c_n^{\lambda_n})^{\frac{1}{\Lambda_n}}} < e \left(\frac{1}{c_n} - \frac{1}{c_{n+1}} \right), n = 1, 2, \dots.$$

Find such a sequence $\{c_n\}$ of positive numbers.

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \sum_{j=1}^i d_j &= \sum_{j=1}^n d_j \sum_{i=j}^n \lambda_i = \sum_{j=1}^n (\Lambda_n - \Lambda_{j-1}) d_j = \Lambda_n \sum_{j=1}^n d_j - \sum_{j=1}^n \Lambda_{j-1} d_j \\ &= \Lambda_n \sum_{j=2}^n d_j - \sum_{j=2}^n \Lambda_{j-1} d_j \end{aligned}$$

Here, supplementary agreeethat $\Lambda_0 = 0$. Take

$$d_j = \frac{\lambda_{j-1}}{\Lambda_{j-1}}, j = 2, 3, \dots, \text{then}$$

$$c_n = e^{\sum_{j=1}^n d_j} = e^{\sum_{j=2}^n \frac{\lambda_{j-1}}{\Lambda_{j-1}}} = e^{\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{\lambda_j}{\Lambda_j}},$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \sum_{j=1}^i d_j = \Lambda_n \sum_{j=2}^n \frac{\lambda_{j-1}}{\Lambda_{j-1}} - \sum_{j=2}^n \lambda_{j-1} = \Lambda_n \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{\lambda_j}{\Lambda_j} - \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \lambda_j = \Lambda_n \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{\lambda_j}{\Lambda_j} - \Lambda_{n-1}$$

then

$$c_1^{\lambda_1} c_2^{\lambda_2} \cdots c_n^{\lambda_n} = e^{\Lambda_n \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{\lambda_j}{\Lambda_j} - \Lambda_{n-1}},$$

then

$$(c_1^{\lambda_1} c_2^{\lambda_2} \cdots c_n^{\lambda_n})^{\frac{1}{\Lambda_n}} = e^{\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{\lambda_j}{\Lambda_j} - \frac{\Lambda_{n-1}}{\Lambda_n}} = e^{\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{\lambda_j}{\Lambda_j} - \frac{\Lambda_n - \lambda_n}{\Lambda_n}} = e^{\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{\lambda_j}{\Lambda_j} - 1} = e^{-1} e^{\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{\lambda_j}{\Lambda_j}}$$

,

Therefore,

$$\frac{\lambda_n}{\Lambda_n (c_1^{\lambda_1} c_2^{\lambda_2} \cdots c_n^{\lambda_n})^{\frac{1}{\Lambda_n}}} = \frac{\frac{\lambda_n}{\Lambda_n}}{e^{\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{\lambda_j}{\Lambda_j}} e^{\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{\lambda_j}{\Lambda_j}}} < e^{\frac{\frac{\lambda_n}{\Lambda_n} - 1}{\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{\lambda_j}{\Lambda_j}}} = e^{\left(\frac{1}{c_n} - \frac{1}{c_{n+1}} \right)} = e^{\left(\frac{1}{c_n} - \frac{1}{c_{n+1}} \right)}$$

Take $c_n = e^{\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{\lambda_j}{\Lambda_j}}$, then

$$c = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} c_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} e^{\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{\lambda_j}{\Lambda_j}} = e^{\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{\lambda_j}{\Lambda_j}} = e^{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_n}{\Lambda_n}},$$

If $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_n}{\Lambda_n}$ converges, then $c < +\infty$. If $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_n}{\Lambda_n}$

diverges, then $c = +\infty$.

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n (a_1^{\lambda_1} a_2^{\lambda_2} \cdots a_n^{\lambda_n})^{\frac{1}{\Lambda_n}} &\leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j \left[c_j \sum_{n=j}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_n}{\Lambda_n} \right] a_j \\ &\leq e \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j \left[c_j \sum_{n=j}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{c_n} - \frac{1}{c_{n+1}} \right) \right] a_j \end{aligned}$$

But for any positive integer n , $\lambda_n > 0$, $c_n > 0$,

$$\frac{\lambda_n}{\Lambda_n (c_1^{\lambda_1} c_2^{\lambda_2} \cdots c_n^{\lambda_n})^{\frac{1}{\Lambda_n}}} < e \left(\frac{1}{c_n} - \frac{1}{c_{n+1}} \right),$$

Therefore, $a_n = 0, n = 1, 2, \dots$

$$(3) \quad \text{If } \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_n}{\Lambda_n} \text{ converges, then} \\ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n (a_1^{\lambda_1} a_2^{\lambda_2} \cdots a_n^{\lambda_n})^{\frac{1}{\Lambda_n}} \leq e \left(1 - \frac{1}{c} \right) \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j a_j,$$

So e is not the best constant.

If $c < +\infty$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n (a_1^{\lambda_1} a_2^{\lambda_2} \cdots a_n^{\lambda_n})^{\frac{1}{\Lambda_n}} &\leq e \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j \left[c_j \sum_{n=j}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{c_n} - \frac{1}{c_{n+1}} \right) \right] a_j \\ &= e \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j \left(1 - \frac{c_j}{c} \right) a_j \leq e \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j \left(1 - \frac{c_1}{c} \right) a_j = e \left(1 - \frac{1}{c} \right) \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j a_j \leq e \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j a_j \end{aligned}$$

(4) $c_n = e^{\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{\lambda_j}{\Lambda_j}}$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$. Due to $\frac{\lambda_n}{\Lambda_n} \in (0, 1]$, the convergence of $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_n}{c_n}$ is the same as that of $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n e^{-\sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\lambda_j}{\Lambda_j}}$.

If $c = +\infty$, then

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n (a_1^{\lambda_1} a_2^{\lambda_2} \cdots a_n^{\lambda_n})^{\frac{1}{\Lambda_n}} \leq e \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j \left[c_j \sum_{n=j}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{c_n} - \frac{1}{c_{n+1}} \right) \right] a_j = e \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j a_j$$

When $n = 1$, $\sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\lambda_j}{\Lambda_j} = 1$.

When $n > 1$,

In summary, $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n (a_1^{\lambda_1} a_2^{\lambda_2} \cdots a_n^{\lambda_n})^{\frac{1}{\Lambda_n}} \leq e \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n a_n$.

(2) The sufficiency is obvious and the proof necessity is as follows.

When the inequality takes the equal sign, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j \left[c_j \sum_{n=j}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_n}{\Lambda_n (c_1^{\lambda_1} c_2^{\lambda_2} \cdots c_n^{\lambda_n})^{\frac{1}{\Lambda_n}}} \right] a_j &= e \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j \left[c_j \sum_{n=j}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{c_n} - \frac{1}{c_{n+1}} \right) \right] a_j \\ &\stackrel{\text{So}}{=} \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\lambda_j}{\Lambda_j} \leq 1 + \ln \frac{\Lambda_n}{\Lambda_1}. \end{aligned}$$

$\lambda_n e^{-\sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\lambda_j}{\Lambda_j}} \geq \lambda_n e^{-(1 + \ln \frac{\Lambda_n}{\Lambda_1})} = e^{-1} \Lambda_1 \frac{\lambda_n}{\Lambda_n}$.

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_n}{\Lambda_n} \quad \text{divergences, so} \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n e^{-\sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j}$$

divergences, then $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_n}{c_n}$ diverges.

Take any positive integer N , define the sequence

$$a_n = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{c_n}, & n = 1, 2, \dots, N \\ 0, & n > N \end{cases}, \text{then}$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n (a_1^{\lambda_1} a_2^{\lambda_2} \cdots a_n^{\lambda_n})^{\frac{1}{\Lambda_n}} = \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{\lambda_n}{(c_1^{\lambda_1} c_2^{\lambda_2} \cdots c_n^{\lambda_n})^{\frac{1}{\Lambda_n}}},$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n a_n = \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{\lambda_n}{c_n},$$

$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_n}{c_n}$ diverges, so $\left\{ \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{\lambda_n}{c_n} \right\}$ is a sequence of

positive numbers that is strictly monotonically increasing towards infinity. According to Stokes' formula,

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n (a_1^{\lambda_1} a_2^{\lambda_2} \cdots a_n^{\lambda_n})^{\frac{1}{\Lambda_n}}}{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n a_n} &= \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sum_{n=1}^N \frac{\lambda_n}{(c_1^{\lambda_1} c_2^{\lambda_2} \cdots c_n^{\lambda_n})^{\frac{1}{\Lambda_n}}}}{\sum_{n=1}^N \frac{\lambda_n}{c_n}} \\ &= \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\frac{\lambda_N}{(c_1^{\lambda_1} c_2^{\lambda_2} \cdots c_N^{\lambda_N})^{\frac{1}{\Lambda_N}}}}{\frac{\lambda_N}{c_N}} = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{e^{\sum_{j=1}^{N-1} \lambda_j}}{e^{\sum_{j=1}^N \lambda_j - 1}} = e \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} e^{-\frac{\lambda_N}{\Lambda_N}} = e \end{aligned}$$

So e is the best constant.

(5) Let $r = \inf_{n \in N^+} \frac{\lambda_n}{\Lambda_n}$, then $r \in [0, 1)$. Take any

non-negative sequence $\{a_n\}$, so that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n a_n < +\infty, \text{then}$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n (a_1^{\lambda_1} a_2^{\lambda_2} \cdots a_n^{\lambda_n})^{\frac{1}{\Lambda_n}} \leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j \left[c_j \sum_{n=j}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_n}{\Lambda_n (c_1^{\lambda_1} c_2^{\lambda_2} \cdots c_n^{\lambda_n})^{\frac{1}{\Lambda_n}}} \right] a_j$$

For any positive integer j , due to $\frac{x}{e^x - 1}$ strictly

monotonically decreasing on $(0, +\infty)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} c_j \sum_{n=j}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_n}{\Lambda_n (c_1^{\lambda_1} c_2^{\lambda_2} \cdots c_n^{\lambda_n})^{\frac{1}{\Lambda_n}}} &= c_j \sum_{n=j}^{\infty} \frac{\frac{\lambda_n}{\Lambda_n}}{\frac{\sum_{i=j}^n \lambda_i}{\sum_{i=j}^n \Lambda_i} - 1} = ec_j \sum_{n=j}^{\infty} \frac{\frac{\lambda_n}{\Lambda_n}}{e^{\frac{\sum_{i=j}^n \lambda_i}{\sum_{i=j}^n \Lambda_i}} - 1} e^{\frac{\sum_{i=j}^n \lambda_i}{\sum_{i=j}^n \Lambda_i}} - 1 \\ &\leq ec_j \sum_{n=j}^{\infty} \frac{r}{e^r - 1} \left(\frac{1}{\sum_{i=j}^n \frac{\lambda_i}{\Lambda_i}} - \frac{1}{\sum_{i=j}^n \frac{\lambda_i}{\Lambda_i}} \right) = \frac{r}{e^r - 1} ec_j \sum_{n=j}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{c_n} - \frac{1}{c_{n+1}} \right) \leq \frac{r}{e^r - 1} e \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n (a_1^{\lambda_1} a_2^{\lambda_2} \cdots a_n^{\lambda_n})^{\frac{1}{\Lambda_n}} \leq \frac{r}{e^r - 1} e \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j a_j.$$

Due to $\frac{r}{e^r - 1} e < e$, e is not the best constant. This

is contradictory. So $\inf_{n \in N^+} \frac{\lambda_n}{\Lambda_n} = 0$.

2. Proof of Theorem 1.2

(1) Still take the positive sequence $\{c_n\}$ as

$$c_n = e^{\frac{\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \lambda_j}{\Lambda_j}}, n = 1, 2, \dots, \text{let } c = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} c_n, \text{ then}$$

$c \in (0, +\infty]$. According to the weighted mean inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \Lambda_n (e^{\frac{\lambda_n}{\Lambda_n}} - 1) (a_1^{\lambda_1} a_2^{\lambda_2} \cdots a_n^{\lambda_n})^{\frac{1}{\Lambda_n}} &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \Lambda_n (e^{\frac{\lambda_n}{\Lambda_n}} - 1) \left[\frac{(c_1 a_1)^{\lambda_1} (c_2 a_2)^{\lambda_2} \cdots (c_n a_n)^{\lambda_n}}{c_1^{\lambda_1} c_2^{\lambda_2} \cdots c_n^{\lambda_n}} \right]^{\frac{1}{\Lambda_n}} \\ &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \Lambda_n (e^{\frac{\lambda_n}{\Lambda_n}} - 1) \frac{\frac{\lambda_1}{\Lambda_n} c_1 a_1 + \frac{\lambda_2}{\Lambda_n} c_2 a_2 + \cdots + \frac{\lambda_n}{\Lambda_n} c_n a_n}{(c_1^{\lambda_1} c_2^{\lambda_2} \cdots c_n^{\lambda_n})^{\frac{1}{\Lambda_n}}} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (e^{\frac{\lambda_n}{\Lambda_n}} - 1) \frac{\sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j c_j a_j}{(c_1^{\lambda_1} c_2^{\lambda_2} \cdots c_n^{\lambda_n})^{\frac{1}{\Lambda_n}}} \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j \left[c_j \sum_{n=j}^{\infty} \frac{e^{\frac{\lambda_n}{\Lambda_n}} - 1}{(c_1^{\lambda_1} c_2^{\lambda_2} \cdots c_n^{\lambda_n})^{\frac{1}{\Lambda_n}}} \right] a_j \end{aligned}$$

For any positive integer j ,

$$\begin{aligned} c_j \sum_{n=j}^{\infty} \frac{e^{\frac{\lambda_n}{\Lambda_n}} - 1}{(c_1^{\lambda_1} c_2^{\lambda_2} \cdots c_n^{\lambda_n})^{\frac{1}{\Lambda_n}}} &= c_j \sum_{n=j}^{\infty} \frac{e^{\frac{\lambda_n}{\Lambda_n}} - 1}{e^{\frac{n \lambda_j}{\sum_{k=j}^n \Lambda_k}} - 1} = e c_j \sum_{n=j}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{e^{\frac{n-1 \lambda_k}{\sum_{k=j}^n \Lambda_k}}} - \frac{1}{e^{\frac{n \lambda_k}{\sum_{k=j}^n \Lambda_k}}} \right) \\ &= e c_j \sum_{n=j}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{c_n} - \frac{1}{c_{n+1}} \right) = \begin{cases} e c_j \left(\frac{1}{c_j} - \frac{1}{c} \right), & c < +\infty \\ e, & c = +\infty \end{cases} \leq e \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{So } \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \Lambda_n (e^{\frac{\lambda_n}{\Lambda_n}} - 1) (a_1^{\lambda_1} a_2^{\lambda_2} \cdots a_n^{\lambda_n})^{\frac{1}{\Lambda_n}} \leq e \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n a_n.$$

(2) The sufficiency is obvious and the proof necessity is as follows. We divide the discussion into two situations, $c < +\infty$ and $c = +\infty$.

First, $c < +\infty$.

For any positive integer j ,

$$c_j \sum_{n=j}^{\infty} \frac{e^{\frac{\lambda_n}{\Lambda_n}} - 1}{(c_1^{\lambda_1} c_2^{\lambda_2} \cdots c_n^{\lambda_n})^{\frac{1}{\Lambda_n}}} \leq e c_j \left(\frac{1}{c_j} - \frac{1}{c} \right) = e \left(1 - \frac{c_j}{c} \right) \leq e \left(1 - \frac{1}{c} \right)$$

Then,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \Lambda_n (e^{\frac{\lambda_n}{\Lambda_n}} - 1) (a_1^{\lambda_1} a_2^{\lambda_2} \cdots a_n^{\lambda_n})^{\frac{1}{\Lambda_n}} \leq e \left(1 - \frac{1}{c} \right) \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j a_j.$$

Inequality takes the equal sign, so

$$e \left(1 - \frac{1}{c} \right) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n a_n = e \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n a_n, \text{ namely } \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n a_n = 0.$$

$\lambda_n > 0, a_n \geq 0, n = 1, 2, \dots$, so $a_n = 0, n = 1, 2, \dots$

Second, $c = +\infty$.

When $c = +\infty$, $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_n}{\Lambda_n}$ divergences.

According to the necessary and sufficient conditions of taking the equal sign of the weighted mean inequality,

$$c_1 a_1 = c_2 a_2 = c_3 a_3 = \dots$$

If a_n are not all 0, then a_n are not 0 at all. We

assume $1 = c_1 a_1 = c_2 a_2 = c_3 a_3 = \dots$, then

$$a_n = \frac{1}{c_n}, n = 1, 2, \dots, \text{so } \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_n}{c_n} \text{ convergences. But in}$$

the proof of Theorem 1.1, we have already shown $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_n}{c_n}$ divergences. This is contradictory. So

$$a_n = 0, n = 1, 2, \dots$$

In summary, the inequality takes the equal sign if and only if $a_n = 0, n = 1, 2, \dots$.

(3) $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_n}{\Lambda_n}$ convergences, so $c < +\infty$. In the proof

of (2), we get

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \Lambda_n (e^{\frac{\lambda_n}{\Lambda_n}} - 1) (a_1^{\lambda_1} a_2^{\lambda_2} \cdots a_n^{\lambda_n})^{\frac{1}{\Lambda_n}} \leq e \left(1 - \frac{1}{c} \right) \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j a_j. \text{ T}$$

hen $e \left(1 - \frac{1}{c} \right) < e$, Therefore, e is not the best constant.

(4) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\lambda_n}{\Lambda_n} = 0$, but $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_n}{\Lambda_n}$ divergences. According

to Theorem 1.1, e is the best constant.

Let

$$A = \left\{ \{a_n\} \mid a_n \geq 0, n=1, 2, \dots, 0 < \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n a_n < +\infty \right\}, \text{ th}$$

en

$$e = \sup_{\{a_n\} \in A} \frac{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n (a_1^{\lambda_1} a_2^{\lambda_2} \cdots a_n^{\lambda_n})^{\frac{1}{\lambda_n}}}{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n a_n} \leq \sup_{\{a_n\} \in A} \frac{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \Lambda_n (e^{\frac{\lambda_n}{\Lambda_n}} - 1) (a_1^{\lambda_1} a_2^{\lambda_2} \cdots a_n^{\lambda_n})^{\frac{1}{\lambda_n}}}{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n a_n} \leq e$$

,

Therefore,

$$\sup_{\{a_n\} \in A} \frac{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \Lambda_n (e^{\frac{\lambda_n}{\Lambda_n}} - 1) (a_1^{\lambda_1} a_2^{\lambda_2} \cdots a_n^{\lambda_n})^{\frac{1}{\lambda_n}}}{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n a_n} = e,$$

Therefore, e is the best constant.#

References

- [1] G.H.Hardy, J.E.Littlewood, and G.Polya. Inequalities [M].Cambridge.Cambridge University Press, 1952.
- [2] D. S. Mitrinović, J. E. Pečarić, Fink A M . Inequalities Involving Functions and Their Integrals and Derivatives[M]. Kluwer Academic Publishers, 1991.
- [3] Sunouchi,G. and N.Takagi, A Generalization of the Carleman Inequality theorem[J].Proceedings Phys-Math.Soc.Japan,1934 16(2):164-166.