# Temperature effect on kinetic parameters of Cronobacter sakazakii in powdered infant formula

Renis Maçi, Halit Memoçi, Ardian Xinxo, Ermelinda Nexhipi, Elida Shehu Department of Food Microbiology Food Safety and Veterinary Institute (FSVI) Tirana, Albania renismaci@hotmail.com

Abstract- Since the early 1980 Cronobacter sakazakii is a food-borne pathogen that has increasingly raised interest among the scientific community, health care providers, and the food industry. Detection of Cronobacter spp. from samples at the retail market of dry powdered infant formulae has been constantly reported in EU Rapid Alert System. Even if the contamination of Cronobacter spp. occurring in dry powdered infant formulae are generally verv low. reconstituted infant formula is a good medium for growth.

The aim of the study was to describe the effect of temperature on the specific growth rate and lag time by using secondary growth models Gompertz and Ratkowsky, as well as evaluate relationship between physiological growth phase versus specific growth rates and lag time. The resulting minimum and maximum temperature are estimated with the secondary Rosso equation. The estimated optimal growth temperature was  $37^{\circ}$ C, whereas the maximum specific growth rate was 2.23 h<sup>-1</sup> at 39 °C.

The growth rates and lag times at various temperatures obtained in this study may help in calculation of the period for which reconstituted infant formula can be stored at a specific temperature without impact on health.

# Keywords— Cronobacter sakazakii, specific growth rate, lag time, secondary growth model

# I. INTRODUCTION

*Cronobacter sakazakii* is a motile, rod shape and Gram negative bacteria that excrete cellulose during biofilm production and occasionally cause neonatal meningitis, sepsis in the population at risk (neonates, newborns or immunocompromised infants). *Cronobacter* spp. can be present, although in low levels, in dry powdered infant formulae and it has been linked to cases of meningitis in neonates, especially those born prematurely [1].

*Cronobacter* spp. may contaminate infant formulae either during production or during bottle preparation. In factory environments *Cronobacter* spp. may grow in **Bizena Bijo, Fatmira Shehu** Department of Veterinary Public Health Faculty of Veterinary Medicine (FVM) Tirana, Albania

wet spots and survive in dust containing residues of infant formulae and contaminate the product after the drying process. Infant formulae may be subject of contamination in health care unit and household kitchens by utensils and via environmental vectors [3].

To keep contamination to a minimum in the finished product depends on knowledge of the lag time, specific growth rate and maximum population density.

In dry powdered infant formula *Cronobacter* spp. stays in lag phase, but after the addition of water, the reconstituted formula becomes a good medium for bacterial growth and the barriers which prevent bacterial growth are short storage and low temperature.

The experiment was carried out in reconstituted powdered infant formulae with tap boiled water when bacterial cells of *C. sakazakii* were in different phases of growth.

# II. MATERIAL AND METHODS

# A. Bacterial and growth conditions

In our experiment the *Cronobacter sakazakii* ATCC 29544 stock cultures were maintained at -80°C in cryovials (Microbank 20 Mulral Sreeet, Unit 4 Richmond Hill ON, Canada). After the working culture were maintained in a mixture which contained 0.7 mL of a stationary-phase culture suspension in Trypticase Soy Broth with 0.6% Yeast Extract (Himedia; L.B.S. Marg, Mumbai - 400 086, India.) broth with 0.3 ml 87% glycerol (Fluka-Chemica, GmbH CH9471 Buchs, Switzerland).

# B. Preparation of bacterial suspension

Cronobacter sakazakii ATCC 29544 was cultured by transferring 250  $\mu$ L of the stock culture into 250 mL BHI followed by incubation at 37°C. Bacterial cells were incubated for 3, 6, 16, 24 and 72 hours to obtain respectively the exponential phase, early stationary phase, middle stationary phase, stationary phase and late stationary phase cells. In order to obtain enough cells in the lag phase, 1 mL of a stock culture of ATCC 29544 maintained in -20°C was diluted in 2 mL glycerol, whereupon the suspension was centrifuged (2524 R model; Eppendorf AG 22331 Hamburg Germany) for 10 minutes at 10,000 rfc . Cells harvested were transferred into 30 mL BHI and incubated for 1.5 hour at 37°C. In order to obtain cells for spiking dry infant formula, these BHI grown cultures were centrifuged for 5 minutes at 20°C at 3000 rfc (5804 R model; Eppendorf AG 22331 Hamburg Germany). Cells were washed in physiological salt solution (1%) and suspended in 30 mL physiological salt solution (1%) for the lag phase cells. Cell suspension concentration is about 1.0+E4 CFU/mL for lag phase grown cells and between 1.0+E5 and 1.0+E7 CFU/mL for the other growth phases.

#### C. Artificial contamination of infant formula

Infant formula was bought in drug store; the numbers of the viable bacteria in the infant formula were less than LOD of the method  $(1.0+E3 \ CFU/g)$ . The obtained bacterial suspension was pipetted directly to the powdered infant formula and then was minced aseptically in order to homogenize the matrix. After 3-4 days spiking, the final bacterial concentration was  $1.0+E2 - 1.0+E2 \ CFU/g$  of dry powder and the infant formula had a water activity (a<sub>w</sub>) less than 0.22. All growth infant formula experiment were performed after 7 days spiking.

#### D. Design

The prediction of specific growth rates at various temperatures requires the lowest temperature supporting growth ( $T_{min}$ ), highest temperature supporting growth ( $T_{max}$ ) and the specific growth rate ( $\mu_{opt}$ )bat optimal growth temperature ( $T_{opt}$ ), as cited in table 1. The lag time at each temperature was initially estimated by the k value, which is the product of the specific growth rate ( $\mu_m$ ) as well as the lag time ( $\lambda$ ) which is known for large variability, but anyhow can be considered constant over a wide range of temperatures [3].

# E. Growth experiments

Ten-gram portions of artificial contaminated infant formula were reconstituted in 100 mL sterilized tap water. Each contaminated glass bottle was divided in 10 mL glass tubes in order to determine the effect of various growth phases. Bottles with PIF and strain ATCC 29544 were incubated as follows (the number of tubes incubated is given in parentheses: 8°C (n=17); 14°C (n=17); 37°C (n=16); 39°C (n=14); Early stationary phase grown cells of strain ATCC 29544 were additionally used to assess growth in reconstituted infant formula at the following temperatures (°C): 4, 8, 14, 37, 39, 41.5, 43, 45, 46, 47, 48, 51. All samples were surface plated onto Plate Count Agar (PCA) (Himedia; L.B.S. Marg, Mumbai -400 086, India.) with a spread plate technique. Inoculated plates were incubated for 20 to 24 h at 30°C (UVP DOC it Colony Counter; UVP, LLC 2066 W. 11th St. Upland, CA).

# F. Data analysis

Kinetic parameters (maximum population density, lag time and specific growth rate) were estimated with

a probability model as modified by Gompertz[10] and two secondary models of Rosso [7] and Ratkowsky [6].

The results were estimated in lag time ( $\lambda$ ; [h]), specific growth rate ( $\mu_m$ ; [h<sup>-1</sup>]) and the asymptotic value was estimated (A; [-] in tested temperature for each growth curve.

In order to obtain homogeneity of variance, lag time data were log transformed and specific growth rate data were square root transformed. A significance level of 5% was used. All data analyses and visualization were performed using JMP 10.0.0 SAS Institute Inc. 2014.

#### III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The secondary growth model of Rosso was used to describe the effect of temperatures on growth rate. This model contains four interpretable parameters  $\mu_{opt}$ ,  $T_{min}$ ,  $T_{max}$  and  $T_{opt}$  (table 1).

TABLE I. GROWTH PARAMETERS OF *CRONOBACTER* SPP. IN RECONSTITUTED INFANT FORMULA: INITIAL ESTIMATION IS BASED ON PREVIOUS PUBLISHED DATA.

Baram	Table Column Head		
eter	Initial estimate	Referenc e(s)	
T <sub>min</sub>	5.5°C	Nazarowec- White, M., and J. M. Farber(1997)	
T <sub>max</sub>	47°C	Farmer et al.(1980); Iversen, et al. (2004)	
T <sub>opt</sub>	37 °C	Iversen, et al. (2004)	
$\mu_{ m opt}$	2.5 h <sup>-1</sup>	Iversen, et al. (2004)	
k	3.75	Juneja et al. (2003)	

lf,

$$T_{min} < T < T_{max}$$

then,

and if,	$\begin{cases} T \le T_{min} \\ T \ge T_{max} \end{cases}$
then,	$\mu_m = 0$
where:	

 $T_{min}$  and  $T_{max}$  are defined in equation,  $T_{opt}$  [°C] is the temperature at which the specific growth rate  $\mu_m$  [h<sup>-1</sup>] is optimal, and  $\mu_{opt}$  is the  $\mu_m$  at optimal temperature.

In order to meet the specified requirements of the experiment, theoretical growth curves was carried out

at 4, 8, 14, 37, 39, 41.5, 43, 45, 46, 47, 48 and 51. Initial estimation of Rosso equation indicate that the incubation temperature (°C): 4, 47, 48, 49 and 51 have no growth rates ( $\mu_m$ =0). Visualization of the  $\mu_m$  estimation using Rosso equation is shown in figure 1.



Fig. 1. Square root of µm distribution (Theoretical Rosso model)

The second predictive model labeled modified Gompertz (equation 2) was used to find the specific growth rate on experimental findings.

Modified Gompertz equation:

$$ln\left(\frac{N_t}{N_0}\right) = A \exp\left\{-\exp\left[\frac{\mu_{\rm m}e}{A}\left(\lambda - t\right) + 1\right]\right\} (2)$$

where:

 $N_0$  [CFU/mL] is the initial bacterial concentration at time t = 0;

 $N_{\rm t}$  [CFU/mL] is the bacterial concentration at time t;

A [CFU/mL] is the asymptote at a specific physiological stage;

 $\lambda$  [h] is the lag time;

 $\mu_{\rm m}$  [h<sup>-1</sup>] specific growth rate.

Microbial behavior under different conditions was defined by using modified Gompertz in order to estimate the population of *Cronobacter sakazakii* ATCC cells in lag, in early and stationary phase. Graphical visualization of modified Gompertz is shown in figure 2.





Determination of lag time  $\lambda$  was computed using the logarithm the inverse of secondary Ratkowsky model (equation 3)(data not shown).

$$ln(\lambda(Ti)) = ln\left[\left(b(T_i - T_{min})\right) \cdot \{1 - exp[c(T_i - T_{min})]\right) \cdot \{1 - exp[c(T_i - T_{min})]^2\}$$

 $T_{max})]\}) | (3)$ 

where *b* [°C<sup>-1</sup> h-<sup>0.5</sup>], and *c* [°C<sup>-1</sup>] are named Ratkowsky parameters. The  $T_{min}$  and  $T_{max}$  values were assumed to be equal to the  $T_{min}$  and  $T_{max}$  of equation Secondary Rosso growth model describes the specific growth rate.

Statistical evaluations (*p*-values) of univariate analysis of variance were done in order to keep significant records related to the effects of the physiological growth phase, specific growth rates of *Cronobacter sakazakii* ATCC 29544 cells (on lag time), and both products (*k*) at temperatures (°C): 8, 14, 37 and 39. (table 2).

TABLE II.	RELATIONSHIP	BETWEEN	PHYSIOLOGICAL	GROWTH
PHASE AND SPECI	FIC GROWTH RA	TES (µM) AN	ID LAG TIME (1)	

Parameter	<i>p</i> -value at:			
	T=8°C	T=14°C	T=37°C	T=39°C
Lag time $(\lambda)[h]$	5,00E-06	2,90E- 08	1,10E- 07	6,30E- 07
Specific growth rate $(\mu_m)[h^{-1}]$	0,0028	0,00059	0,00064	0,0037
k-value ( $\lambda x$ $\mu_{\rm m}$ )	0,0173	0,00382	0,002	0,0044

According to *p*-value (*p*<0.05) founded there is a significant positive relationship between physiological growth phase versus specific growth rates ( $\mu_m$ ) and lag time ( $\lambda$ ) at all temperatures.

Since statistical analysis showed that the physiological growth phases of strain ATCC 29544 at °C): 8, 14, 37, 39 did not influence significantly to specific growth rates and lag times, further experiments were performed over a wide range of

temperatures, from 4 up to 51°C with early stationary spiked cells of strain ATCC 29544 (figure 3).



Fig. 3. Square root of measured and fitted specific growth rates  $\mu$  as function of the temperature (experiment data only).

Although growth was observed up to 47°C, no reliable estimates of the specific growth rate and lag time could be derived from the models.

In general was observed growth in all cases and in each temperature. With increasing temperature,  $\mu_m$  is increased to 39°C. A clear rising value can be observed for cells in stationary phase compare to lag phase and early stationary phase. Mean values of  $\mu_m$  at 4, 8, 14 and 37°C incubation temperature were shown in table 3.

TABLE III. . MEAN VALUES OF SPECIFIC GROWTH RATE ( $\mu_{\rm M}$ ) FOR VARIOUS PHYSIOLOGICAL GROWTH STATES IN DIFFERENT TEMPERATURES OF STRAIN *C. SAKAZAKII* ATCC 29544

Physiological growth phases	<i>Mean values of</i> $\mu_m$ [h <sup>-1</sup> ] at			
	T=8°C	T=14°C	T=37°C	T=39°C
Cells in lag	0.75±	0.77±	0.82±	0.6±
phase	0.31	0.35	0.32	0.35
Cells in early	1.01±	1.05±	0.98±	0.82±
stationary	0.44	0.46	0.52	0.32
phase				
Cells in	1.41±	1.39±	1.42±	1.39±
stationary	0.56	0.56	0.6	0.69
phase				

#### IV. CONCLUSIONS

The effect of temperature on kinetic factors was described in detailed by using statistically significant experiment on different physiological stage of *C.* sakazakii ATCC cells. The specific growth rate, lag time and k coefficient were extrapolated according to

Rosso, Ratkowsky and modified Gompertz equation[3].

The findings of this study indicate that optimum growth temperature was met on  $37^{\circ}$ C, whereas the maximum specific growth rate was 2.23 h<sup>-1</sup> at 39°C.

The effect of strain variability related to growth parameters was studied in different physiological phase of *Cronobacter sakazakii* cells (table 2).

#### V. ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors would like to thank Food Safety and Veterinary Institute for the support in the realization of this study. Particularly, the authors would also like to thank the Director of the institution for his supporting.

#### REFERENCES

[1] Heuvelink, A. E., M. Ahmed, F. D. Kodde, J. T. M. Zwartkruis-Nahuis, and E.de Boer. 2002. *Enterobacter sakazakii* in melkpoeder. De Ware(n)chemicus. 32:17-30.

[2] Iversen, C., M. Lane, and S. J. Forsythe. 2004. The growth profile, thermotolerance and biofilm formation of *Enterobacter sakazakii* grown in infant formula milk. Lett. Appl. Microbiol. 38:378-382

[3] Kandhai, M. C., M. W. Reij, C. Grognou, M. Van Schothorst, L. G. M. Gorris, and M. H. Zwietering. 2006. Effects of preculturing conditions on lag time and specific growth rate of *Enterobacter sakazakii* in reconstituted powdered infant formula. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 72:2721-2729.

[4] Nazarowec-White, M., and J. M. Farber. 1997. Incidence, survival, and growth of *Enterobacter sakazakii* in infant formula. J. Food Prot. 60:226-230.

[5] Pin, C., G. D. Garcia de Fernando, J. A. Ordonez, and J. Baranyi. 2002. Analysing the laggrowth rate relationship of Yersinia enterocolitica. Int. J. Food Microbiol. 73:197-201.

[6] Ratkowsky, D. A., J. Olley, T. A. McMeekin, and A. Ball. 1982. Relationship between temperature and growth rate of bacterial cultures. J. Bacteriol. 149:1-5.

[7] Rosso, L., J. R. Lobry, S. Bajard, and J. P. Flandrois. 1995. Convenient model to describe the combined effects of temperature and pH on microbial growth. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 61:610-616.

[8] Swinnen, I. A. M., K. Bernaerts, E. J. J. Dens, A. H. Geeraerd, and J. F. Van Impe. 2004. Predictive modelling of microbial lag phase: a review. Int. J. Food Microbiol. 94:137-159.

[9] Van Schothorst, M. 1976. Resuscitation of injured bacteria in foods. p. 317-328. In Skinner and Hugo (eds.), Inhibition and inactivation of vegatative microbes. Academic Press, Inc. (London), Ltd., London.

[10] Zwietering, M. H., I. Jongenburger, F. M. Rombouts, and K. Van 't Riet. 1990. Modeling of the bacterial growth curve. Appl. Environ. Microbiol.56:1875-1881.