# Impact of media coverage on the Controlling of Diabetes

Manju Agarwal <sup>1</sup> & Rachana Pathak<sup>2</sup> <sup>1,2</sup>Department of Mathematics & Astronomy, Lucknow University, Lucknow-226007, INDIA

Abstract—In this paper non-linear а mathematical model for the effects of awareness programs on the burden of diabetes is analyzed. Even as nutritional deficiencies and infections are receding as leading contributors to disability and death, diabetes is becoming major contributors to the burden of disease. Complications of diabetes constitute a burden for the individuals and the whole society. But the diabetics can be avoided or delayed in many cases if awareness and sensitization are raised to inform people in the pre-diabetic stage. In the modeling process the growth rate of awareness programs impacting the population is assumed to be proportional to the numbers of diabetics with and without complications. The model is analyzed by using stability theory of differential equations. Our analysis shows that diabetics with and without complication can be prevented, controlled or at least delayed by introducing effective awareness programmes. The numerical simulation analysis of the model confirms the analytical results.

Keywords—Epidemic	Model,	Awareness		
Programs, Local Stability, Global Stability.				

## 1.Introduction

Mathematics has been used to understand and predict the spread of non-communicable diseases, relating important public health questions to basic infection parameters. Diabetes is а noncommunicable disease which is characterized by too high sugar levels in the blood and urine. It is usually diagnosed by means of a glucose tolerance test (GTT). In September 2011, United Nations' high-level meetings hold on the prevention and control of NCDs at the United State. The conclusion came out from this meeting is that NCDs were already the leading causes of death in all world regions and that their burden is increasing rapidly (WHO, 2011). NCDs could become responsible for 52 million deaths the year 2030. So many parallel advocacy efforts for tackling NCDs are taking place, with a particular focus on heart disease, cancer, respiratory diseases, diabetes and stroke (Beaglehole et al., 2011). A number of interventions have been outlined that could have immediate preventive effect and slow down the pandemic, such as tobacco control, improved diet, exercise and decreased alcohol intake (Beaglehole et al., 2011).

In the coming decades, however, the noticeable impact will be on adults of working age in low- and

middle-income countries. The program stresses that 3.2 million deaths can be attributed to complications associated with non-communicable diseases each year making the burden of premature death similar to that of HIV/AIDS. Despite these stark facts, the problem seems to be largely unrecognized. The growing trend of non-communicable diseases indicates the need, especially in developing countries, to adopt urgent measures and efficient strategies for the prevention and management of diseases and its complications (Boutayeb et al., 2005). Statistical analysis on AIDS awareness programs shows that public awareness can play an appreciable role in preventing the AIDS epidemic (Cui et al., 2008). Numerical simulations and mathematical models are useful tools for the elaboration of health strategies. They offer interesting possibilities for building and testing assumptions, estimating and controlling parameters, understanding the population's dynamics and proposing pragmatic actions based on different scenarios. Misra et al. (2011) investigated the effects of awareness programs on the spread of infectious diseases and concluded that the spread of an infectious disease can be controlled by using awareness programs but the disease remains endemic due to immigration. Keeping this research in mind, we formulate and analyze a nonlinear mathematical model for diabetes to study the impact of awareness programs conducted by a media campaign on pre-diabetic class, diabetic class with and without complications in the population. The main purpose is to attract health policy makers and public attention to the need to place more emphasis in health care on the prevention of illness and the promotion of good health, instead of focusing predominantly on treating ill-health.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows: In section 2 gives the formulation of the model. In Section 3, we discuss the boundedness of the solution. In Sections, 4 our model is analyzed with regard to equilibrium point and its local and global stability. In section 5, we present numerical simulation to illustrate the applicability of results obtained. We conclude with a short discussion in section 6.

# 2. Mathematical Model

Let  $P, D, C, P_m$  and M represent the numbers of pre-diabetic class, diabetic class with and without complications, aware pre-diabetic class in a population and the cumulative density of awareness programs driven by the media in that region at time t

respectively.  $A, \lambda_1, d, \lambda, \lambda_2, \lambda_3, \gamma, \nu, \delta, \lambda_0, \mu$  and  $\mu_0$ are positive parameters. A denote the incidence of pre-diabetic class,  $\lambda_{i}$  the probability of developing diabetes, d the natural mortality rate,  $\lambda_2$  the probability of a diabetic class developing a complication,  $\lambda_3$  the probability of a pre-diabetic class developing a complication,  $\gamma$  the rate at which complications are cured,  $\nu$  the rate at which diseased patients with complications become severely disabled,  $\delta$  the mortality rate due to complications,  $\lambda$  the dissemination rate of awareness among susceptible class in a population due to which they form a different class,  $\mu$  the rate with which awareness programs are being implemented,  $\mu_{_0}$  the depletion rate of these programs due to ineffectiveness, social problems in the population,  $\lambda_{_0}$  the rate of transfer of aware class to susceptible class, respectively.

Keeping above consideration and assumption in mind the dynamics of model is governed by the following system of nonlinear ordinary differential equations:

$$\frac{dP}{dt} = A - (\lambda_1 + \lambda_3 + d)P - \lambda PM + \lambda_0 P_m,$$

$$\frac{dD}{dt} = \lambda_1 P - (\lambda_2 + d)D + \gamma C,$$

$$\frac{dC}{dt} = \lambda_2 D + \lambda_3 P - (\gamma + d + \nu + \delta)C, \quad (2.1)$$

$$\frac{dP_m}{dt} = \lambda PM - dP_m - \lambda_0 P_m,$$

$$\frac{dM}{dt} = \mu (D + C) - \mu_0 M.$$

Where,

 $P(0) > 0, D(0) \ge 0, C(0) \ge 0, P_m(0) \ge 0, M(0) \ge 0.$ 

## 3. Boundedness of the System

In analogy to the population dynamics, it is very important to observe the consequences that restrict the growth of the population. In this sense, study of boundedness of the solution of system around different steady states is very much needed. For this, we find boundedness of the system in the following lemma:

Lemma 3.1: The set

$$\Omega = \left\{ \left(P, D, C, P_m, M\right) : 0 \le P + D + C + P_m \le \frac{A}{d}, 0 \le M \le \frac{2\mu A}{d\mu_0} \right\},\$$

is the region of attraction for all solutions initiating in the interior of the positive octant.

**Proof:** Let  $(P, D, C, P_m, M)$  be any solutions with positive initial conditions  $(P_0, D_0, C_0, P_{m_0}, M_0)$ .

Define a function

$$N = P + D + C + P_m.$$

Computing the time derivative of N along solutions of system (2.1), we get

$$\frac{dN}{dt} = \frac{dP}{dt} + \frac{dD}{dt} + \frac{dC}{dt} + \frac{dP_m}{dt},$$
$$\frac{dN}{dt} \le A - dN.$$

Applying a Lemma on differential inequalities (Birkhoff and Rota, 1982), we obtain

$$0 \le N(P, D, C, P_m) \le \frac{A}{d} + \frac{N(P_0, D_0, C_0, P_{m0})}{\exp(dt)}$$
  
and for  $t \to \infty$ ,  $0 \le N \le \frac{A}{d}$ .

From the last equation of the system (2.1) and using the upper bound of the population N, we get

$$\frac{dM}{dt} \leq \mu(\frac{A}{d} + \frac{A}{d}) - \mu_0 M.$$

According to comparison principle, it follows that

$$M_{\rm max} = {2 \, \mu \, A \over d \mu_0}$$
. Therefore all solutions of system

(2.1) enter into the region

$$\Omega = \left\{ \left(P, D, C, P_m, M\right) : 0 \le P + D + C + P_m \le \frac{A}{d}, 0 \le M \le \frac{2\mu A}{d\mu_0} \right\}.$$

This completes the proof of lemma.

## 4. Equilibrium and Stability Analysis

## 4.1. Existence of Equilibrium Point

**Theorem 4.1** There exists only one equilibrium point of the system (2.1) as  $E_0(P^*, D^*, C^*, P_m^*, M^*)$  if only if conditions

$$A > dP_m^* \text{ and } \mu_0 P_m^* (d + \gamma + \lambda_2) (\lambda_1 + \lambda_3 + d)^2 (d + \lambda_0) > \left\{ \lambda \lambda_1 (A - dP_m^*)^2 + \gamma \mu_0 P_m^* (\lambda_1 + \lambda_3 + d)^2 (d + \lambda_0) \right\}$$
  
Id for the system (2.1).

hold for the system (2.1).

For proof of this theorem see Appendix A.

# 4.2 Stability Analysis

# 4.2.1 Local Stability

**Theorem 4.2.1** The equilibrium point  $E_0$  is locally asymptotically stable if following conditions are satisfied:

1.  $p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4$  and  $p_5$  are positive,

2. 
$$p_1 p_2 - p_3 > 0$$
,

3. 
$$(p_1p_2 - p_3)p_3 - p_1^2p_4 > 0$$
,

4. 
$$(p_1p_2 - p_3)(p_3p_4 - p_2p_5) - (p_1p_4 - p_5)^2 > 0.$$

For proof of this theorem see Appendix B.

## 4.2.2Global Stability

**Theorem 4.2.2** The equilibrium point  $E_0$  is nonlinearly stable if the following inequalities are satisfied:

$$(b_{12})^{2} < \frac{1}{3}b_{11}b_{22}, (b_{13})^{2} < \frac{1}{2}b_{11}b_{33}, (b_{15})^{2} < \frac{1}{4}b_{11}b_{55},$$
  

$$(b_{14})^{2} < \frac{1}{2}b_{11}b_{44}, (b_{23})^{2} < \frac{2}{3}b_{22}b_{33}, (b_{25})^{2} < \frac{1}{3}b_{22}b_{55},$$
  

$$(b_{35})^{2} < \frac{1}{2}b_{33}b_{55}, (b_{45})^{2} < \frac{1}{2}b_{44}b_{55}.$$

where

$$\begin{split} b_{11} &= \lambda_1 + d + \lambda_3 + \lambda M, \ b_{12} &= \lambda_1, \ b_{13} &= \lambda_3, \\ b_{22} &= \lambda_2 + d, \ b_{15} &= \lambda P^*, \\ b_{55} &= \mu_0, \ b_{33} &= \gamma + d + \nu + \delta, \\ b_{14} &= \lambda M^*, \ b_{44} &= d + \lambda_0, \\ b_{23} &= \gamma + \lambda_2, \ b_{25} &= \mu, b_{35} &= \mu, \ . \\ b_{45} &= \lambda P^* \end{split}$$

For proof of this theorem see Appendix C.

#### **5.Numerical simulation**

We now integrate system (2.1) to substantiate the above analytical finding. The model is studied numerically using fourth order Runge-Kutta method under the following set of compatible parameters with the help of MATLAB software package.

First, we consider following set of parameter values of study the system (2.1) numerically:

$$\begin{split} &A = 2000, \lambda_1 = 0.6, d = 0.6, \lambda = 0.0002, \\ &\lambda_2 = 1.5, \lambda_3 = 0.4, \gamma = 0.005, \nu = 1.9, \delta = 1.9, \\ &\lambda_0 = 0.2, \mu = 0.005, \\ &\mu_0 = 0.8. \end{split}$$
(5.1)

The interior equilibrium point  $E_0$  for above set of parameters is as follows:

$$P^* = 1249.57, D^* = 357.579,$$
  
 $C^* = 235.232, P_m^* = 1.15743,$   
 $M^* = 3.70507.$ 

The characteristic polynomial and eigenvalues corresponding to equilibrium point  $E_0$  are obtained as:

$$\begin{split} \psi^5 + 9.70574\psi^4 + 33.2649\,\psi^3 + 51.4328\,\psi^2 + \\ 36.2569\,\psi + 9.47141 = 0. \\ \psi_1 &= -4.40825, \psi_2 = -2.09896, \\ \psi_3 &= -1.59703, \psi_4 = -0.816254, \\ \psi_5 &= -0.785245. \end{split}$$

Since all the eigenvalues corresponding to  $E_0$  be negative, therefore  $E_0$  is locally asymptotically stable. Further, to illustrate the global stability of the equilibrium point graphically, numerical simulation is performed for different initial starts and the result is displayed in Figure 4. It is found that all inequalities are satisfied for above parameter values. In Figure1 pre-diabetic class, diabetic class, diabetic class with complication, aware pre-diabetic class and awareness program in a population are plotted against time, from this figure it is noted that for a given initial values, all the population tend to their corresponding value of equilibrium point  $E_0$  and hence coexist in the form of

stable steady state, assuring the local stability of  $E_0$ .

This shows that the system (2.1) is locally and globally stable. Figures have been plotted between dependent variables and time for different parameter values to show changes occurring in population with time under different conditions. The variation of pre-diabetic class, diabetic class, diabetic class with complication, aware pre-diabetic class in a population and awareness programs with respect to time t for different values of rate of dissemination ' $\lambda$ ' is shown in Figure 2. From this figure, it can be noted that as the rate of dissemination  $'\lambda'$  increases pre-diabetic class, diabetic class, diabetic class with complication and awareness programs decrease. This means diabetic and diabetic with complication classes decreases with an increase in the value of the dissemination rate of awareness. At the same time, aware pre-diabetic class in a population increases with rate of dissemination ' $\lambda$ ' increases.

Further, the variation of pre-diabetic class, diabetic class, diabetic class with complication, aware prediabetic class in a population and awareness programs with respect to time *t* for different values of rate of implementation of awareness programs ' $\mu$ ' is shown in Figure.3. From this figure, it can be noted that as the rate of implementation of awareness programs ' $\mu$ ' increases pre-diabetic class, diabetic class, diabetic class with complication decrease. At the same time, aware class in a population and awareness programs both increase with rate of implementation of awareness programs ' $\mu$ ' increases. These figures show that due to awareness programs diabetic and diabetic with complication can be controlled.



**Figure.1.** Stable behavior of  $P, D, C, P_m$  and M with time and other parameter values are same as (5.1).





**Figure.2.** Graph of *P* verses *t*, *D* verses *t*, *C* verses *t*,  $P_m$  verses *t* and *M* verses *t* for different  $\lambda$  and other values of parameters are same as (5.1). These figures show that equilibrium number of diabetic and diabetic with complication classes decreases with an increase in the value of the dissemination rate of awareness.





**Figure.3.** Graph of *P* verses t, D verses t, C verses  $t, P_m$  verses t and *M* verses t for different  $\mu$  and other values of parameters are same as (5.1). These figures show that equilibrium number of diabetic and diabetic with complication classes decreases with an increase in the value of the implementation rate of awareness programs.



In this paper, a nonlinear mathematical model is proposed and analyzed to see the effect of awareness programs driven by the media on pre-diabetic class,

diabetic class, and diabetic class with complication in

a variable population with immigration. It has been considered that the growth rate of awareness programs impacting the population is assumed to be proportional to the numbers of diabetic class with and without complications. It has been further assumed that due to the effect of media, pre-diabetic class individuals form a separate class of aware prediabetic class individuals. The analysis demonstrates

that an endemic equilibrium is locally as well as globally stable under certain conditions. The analysis

showed that awareness programs through the media

campaigning are helpful in decreasing the diabetic

class in a population. By awareness programs, at least we could have prevented diabetes whenever

possible and, where not possible, to minimize

complications and maximize quality of life.

6.Conclusion



**Figure.4.** Graph of P verses M, D verses M and C verses M and other parameters are same as (5.1).

In Fig.4, we may see that all the trajectories initiating inside the region of attraction approach towards the equilibrium value  $(P^*, D^*, C^*, P_m^*, M^*)$  for different initial starts, indicating the global stability of  $(P^*, D^*, C^*, P_m^*, M^*)$ .

#### Appendix A

There exists only one equilibrium point of the system (2.1) as  $E_0(P^*, D^*, C^*, P_m^*, M^*)$ 

where

$$S^{*} = \frac{A - dP_{m}^{*}}{\lambda_{1} + \lambda_{3} + d}, D^{*} = \frac{\lambda \lambda_{1} (A - dP_{m}^{*})^{2} + \gamma \mu_{0} P_{m}^{*} (d + \lambda_{0}) (\lambda_{1} + \lambda_{3} + d)^{2}}{\lambda (\lambda_{2} + d + \gamma) (\lambda_{1} + \lambda_{3} + d) (A - dP_{m}^{*})},$$

$$C^{*} = \frac{\mu_{0} P_{m}^{*} (d + \gamma + \lambda_{2}) (\lambda_{1} + \lambda_{3} + d)^{2} (d + \lambda_{0}) - \left\{ \lambda \lambda_{1} (A - dP_{m}^{*})^{2} + \gamma \mu_{0} P_{m}^{*} (\lambda_{1} + \lambda_{3} + d)^{2} (d + \lambda_{0}) \right\}}{\lambda (\lambda_{2} + d + \gamma) (\lambda_{1} + \lambda_{3} + d) (A - dP_{m}^{*})},$$

$$M^{*} = \frac{(d + \lambda_{0}) (\lambda_{1} + \lambda_{3} + d) P_{m}^{*}}{\lambda (A - dP_{m}^{*})},$$

and

$$A > dP_{m}^{*} \text{ and } \mu_{0} P_{m}^{*} (d + \gamma + \lambda_{2}) (\lambda_{1} + \lambda_{3} + d)^{2} (d + \lambda_{0}) > \left\{ \lambda \lambda_{1} (A - dP_{m}^{*})^{2} + \gamma \mu_{0} P_{m}^{*} (\lambda_{1} + \lambda_{3} + d)^{2} (d + \lambda_{0}) \right\}$$
(A.1)

$$A_{1}P_{m}^{*2} + A_{2}P_{m}^{*} + A_{3} = 0,$$
(A.2)

where

$$\begin{split} A_{1} &= d^{2}\lambda\lambda_{3}(\lambda_{2} + d + \gamma) + \lambda\lambda_{1}\lambda_{2}d^{2} + \lambda\lambda_{1}(\gamma + d + \nu + \delta)d^{2}, \\ A_{2} &= -2dA\lambda\{\lambda_{3}(\lambda_{2} + d + \gamma) + \lambda_{1}\lambda_{2} + \lambda_{1}(\gamma + d + \nu + \delta)\} + \lambda_{2}\gamma\mu_{0}(d + \lambda_{0})(\lambda_{1} + \lambda_{3} + d)^{2} \\ &- \mu_{0}(d + \lambda_{2})(d + \lambda_{0})(\lambda_{1} + \lambda_{3} + d)^{2}, \\ A_{3} &= \lambda A^{2}\{\lambda_{3}(\lambda_{2} + d + \gamma) + \lambda_{1}\lambda_{2} + \lambda_{1}(\gamma + d + \nu + \delta)\}. \end{split}$$

In this way  $P_m^*$  is the unique positive root of the equation (A.2) with conditions (A.1).

## Appendix B

To discuss the local stability of the system (2.1), we compute the variational matrix of the system (2.1). The entries of the general variational matrix are given by differentiating the right side of the system (2.1) with respect to

 $P, D, C, P_{\scriptscriptstyle m} \; {\rm and} \; M$  , i.e.

	$\left[-\left(\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{3}+d\right)-\lambda M* ight]$	0	0	$\lambda_{0}$	$-\lambda P*$
	$\lambda_1$	$-(\lambda_2 + d)$	γ	0	0
$V(E_0) =$	$\lambda_3$	$\lambda_2$	$-(\gamma + d + \nu + \delta)$	0	0
	$\lambda M$ *	0	0	$-(d+\lambda_0)$	$\lambda P$ *
	0	μ	$\mu$	0	$-\mu_0$

The eigenvalues of the matrix  $V(E_0)$  are the roots of the characteristic equation given below  $\psi^5 + p_1 \psi^4 + p_2 \psi^3 + p_3 \psi^2 + p_4 \psi + p_5 = 0$ ,

where

$$p_{1} = 4d + \gamma + \delta + M * \lambda + \lambda_{0} + \lambda_{1} + \lambda_{2} + \lambda_{3} + \mu_{0} + \nu,$$

$$p_{2} = 3d(2d + \gamma + \delta + M * \lambda + \lambda_{0} + \lambda_{1} + \lambda_{2} + \lambda_{3} + \nu) + M * \lambda(\gamma + \delta + \lambda_{2} + \nu + \mu_{0}) + \gamma(\lambda_{0} + \lambda_{1} + \lambda_{3} + \mu_{0}) + \delta(\lambda_{0} + \lambda_{1} + \lambda_{2} + \lambda_{3} + \mu_{0}) + \mu_{0}(\lambda_{0} + \lambda_{1} + \lambda_{2} + \lambda_{3} + \delta + 4d + \nu) + \nu(\lambda_{0} + \lambda_{1} + \lambda_{2} + \lambda_{3}) + \lambda_{0}(\lambda_{1} + \lambda_{2} + \lambda_{3}) + \lambda_{1}\lambda_{2} + \lambda_{2}\lambda_{3},$$

$$p_{3} = 3d^{2}(\gamma + \delta + M * \lambda + \lambda_{0} + \lambda_{1} + \lambda_{2} + \lambda_{3} + 2\mu_{0} + \nu) + 3d\mu_{0}(\gamma + \delta + M * \lambda + \lambda_{0} + \lambda + \lambda_{2} + \nu) + M * \lambda$$

 $\begin{aligned} & \left(2d\gamma+2d\delta++2d\lambda_{2}+\delta\lambda_{2}+\gamma\mu_{0}+\delta\mu_{0}+\lambda_{2}\mu_{0}+2d\nu+\lambda_{2}\nu+\mu_{0}\nu\right)+2d\left(\gamma\lambda_{0}+\delta\lambda_{0}+\gamma\lambda_{1}+\delta\lambda_{1}+\gamma\lambda_{3}+\delta\lambda_{3}+\lambda_{0}\lambda_{1}+\delta\lambda_{2}+\lambda_{0}\lambda_{2}+\lambda_{0}\lambda_{2}+\lambda_{0}\lambda_{3}+\lambda_{0}\nu+\lambda_{1}\nu+\lambda_{2}\nu\right)+\lambda_{0}\left(\gamma\lambda_{1}+\delta\lambda_{1}+\delta\lambda_{2}+\gamma\lambda_{3}+\lambda_{1}\lambda_{2}+\gamma\mu_{0}+\delta\mu_{0}+\mu_{0}\lambda_{1}+\mu_{0}\lambda_{2}+\nu\lambda_{1}+\nu\lambda_{2}+\mu_{0}\nu\right)\\ & p_{4}=d^{4}+d^{3}\left(\gamma+\delta+M*\lambda+\lambda_{0}+\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}+4\mu_{0}+\nu\right)+d^{2}\left(M*\gamma\lambda+M*\delta\lambda+\gamma\lambda_{0}+\delta\lambda_{0}+\gamma\lambda_{1}+\delta\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{0}\lambda_{1}+\delta\lambda_{2}+M*\lambda\lambda_{2}+\lambda_{0}\lambda_{2}+\lambda_{1}\lambda_{2}+3\gamma\mu_{0}+3\delta\mu_{0}+3M*\lambda\mu_{0}+3\lambda_{0}\mu_{0}+3\lambda_{1}\mu_{0}+\lambda_{2}\mu_{0}+\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{0}\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{0}\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{0}\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{0}\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{0}\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{0}\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{0}\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{0}\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{0}\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{0}\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{0}\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{0}\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{0}\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{0}\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{0}\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{0}\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{0}\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{0}\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{0}\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{0}\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{0}\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{0}\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{0}\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{0}\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{0}\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{0}\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{0}\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{0}\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{0}\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{0}\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{0}\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{0}\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{0}\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{0}\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{0}\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{0}\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{0}\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{0}\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{0}\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{0}\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{0}\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{0}\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{0}\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{0}\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{0}\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{0}\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{0}\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{0}\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{0}\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{0}\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{0}\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{0}\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{0}\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{0}\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{0}\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{0}\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{0}\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{0}\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{0}\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{0}\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{0}\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{0}\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{0}\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{0}\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{0}\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{0}\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{0}\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{0}\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{0}\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{0}\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{0}\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{0}\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{0}\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{0}\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{0}\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{0}\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{0}\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{0}\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{0}\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{0}\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{0}\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{0}\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{0}\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{0}\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{0}\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{0}\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{0}\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{0}\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{0}\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{0}\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{0}\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{0}\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{0}\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{0}\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{0}\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{0}\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{0}\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{0}\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{0}\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{0}\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{0}\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{0}\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{0}\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{0}\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{0}\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{0}\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{0}\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{0}\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{0}\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{0}\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{0}\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{0}\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{0}\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{0}\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{0}\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{0}\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{0}\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{0}\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{0}\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{0}\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{0}\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{0}\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{0}\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{0}\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{0}\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{0}\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{0}\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{0}\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{0}\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{0}\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{0}\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{0}\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{0}\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{0}\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{0}\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{0}\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{0}\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{0}\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{0}$ 

$$\begin{split} M &* \lambda v + \lambda_{0} v + \lambda_{1} v + \lambda_{2} v + 3\mu_{0} v \right) + d (\gamma \lambda_{0} \lambda_{1} + \delta \lambda_{0} \lambda_{1} + M * \delta \lambda \lambda_{2} + \delta \lambda_{0} \lambda_{2} + \delta \lambda_{1} \lambda_{2} + \lambda_{0} \lambda_{1} \lambda_{2} + \\ &2 S * \lambda \lambda_{1} \mu + 2M * \lambda \gamma \mu_{0} + 2M * \delta \lambda \mu_{0} + 2\gamma \lambda_{0} \mu_{0} + 2\delta \lambda_{0} \mu_{0} + 2\gamma \lambda_{1} \mu_{0} + 2\delta \lambda_{1} \mu_{0} + 2\lambda_{0} \lambda_{1} \mu_{0} + \\ &2 \delta \lambda_{2} \mu_{0} + 2M * \lambda \lambda_{2} \mu_{0} + 2\lambda_{0} \lambda_{2} \mu_{0} + 2\lambda_{1} \lambda_{2} \mu_{0} + \lambda_{0} \lambda_{1} v + M * \lambda \lambda_{2} v + \lambda_{0} \lambda_{2} v + \lambda_{1} \lambda_{2} v + 2M * \lambda \mu_{0} v \\ &+ 2\lambda_{0} \mu_{0} v + 2\lambda_{1} \mu_{0} v + 2\lambda_{2} \mu_{0} v \right) + \delta \lambda_{0} \lambda_{1} \lambda_{2} + S * \lambda \lambda_{1} \mu (\gamma + \delta + \lambda_{2} + v) + \gamma \lambda_{0} \lambda_{1} \mu_{0} + \delta \lambda_{0} \lambda_{1} \mu_{0} + \\ &M * \delta \lambda \lambda_{2} \mu_{0} + \delta \lambda_{0} \lambda_{2} \mu_{0} + \delta \lambda_{1} \lambda_{2} \mu_{0} + \lambda_{0} \lambda_{1} \lambda_{2} \mu_{0} + \lambda_{0} \lambda_{1} \lambda_{2} v + \lambda_{0} \lambda_{1} \mu_{0} v + M * \lambda \lambda_{2} \mu_{0} v + \\ &\lambda_{0} \lambda_{2} \mu_{0} v + \lambda_{1} \lambda_{2} \mu_{0} v, \\ &p_{5} = d^{4} \mu_{0} + d^{3} (\gamma \mu_{0} + \delta \mu_{0} + M * \lambda \mu_{0} + \lambda_{0} \mu_{0} + \lambda_{1} \mu_{0} + \lambda_{2} \mu_{0} + \mu_{0} v) + d^{2} (S * \lambda \lambda_{1} \mu + M * \gamma \lambda \mu_{0} + \gamma \lambda_{0} \mu_{0} \\ &+ \delta \lambda_{0} \mu_{0} + \gamma \lambda_{1} \mu_{0} + \delta \lambda_{1} \mu_{0} + \lambda_{0} \lambda_{1} \mu_{0} + \delta \mu_{0} \lambda_{2} + M * \lambda \lambda_{2} \mu_{0} + \lambda_{0} \lambda_{2} \mu_{0} + M * \lambda \mu_{0} v + \lambda_{0} \mu_{0} v \\ &+ \delta \lambda_{0} \mu_{0} + \gamma \lambda_{1} \mu_{0} + \delta \lambda_{1} \mu_{0} + \lambda_{0} \lambda_{1} \mu_{0} + \delta \mu_{0} \lambda_{2} + M * \lambda \lambda_{2} \mu_{0} + \lambda_{0} \lambda_{2} \mu_{0} + M * \lambda \mu_{0} v + \lambda_{0} \mu_{0} v \\ &+ \delta \lambda_{0} \mu_{0} + \gamma \lambda_{1} \mu_{0} + \delta \lambda_{1} \mu_{0} + \lambda_{0} \lambda_{1} \mu_{0} + \delta \mu_{0} \lambda_{2} \mu_{0} + \lambda_{0} \lambda_{1} \mu_{0} + \delta \lambda_{0} \lambda_{0} \mu_{0} + M * \delta \lambda_{0} \lambda_{0} \mu_{0} + \lambda_{0} \lambda_{0} \mu_{0} + \lambda_{0} \lambda_{0} \lambda_{0} \mu_{0} + \lambda_{0} \lambda_{0} \lambda_{0} \mu_{0} + \delta \lambda_{0} \lambda_{0} \mu_{0} + \delta \lambda_{0} \lambda_{0} \mu_{0} + \delta \lambda_{0} \lambda_{0} \lambda_{0} \mu_{0} + \delta \lambda_{0} \lambda_{0} \mu_{0} + \delta \lambda_{0} \lambda_{0} \mu_{0} + \delta \lambda_{0} \lambda_{0} \lambda_{0} \mu_{0} + \delta \lambda_{0} \lambda_{0} \mu_{0} + \delta \lambda_{0} \lambda_{0} \lambda_{0} \mu_{0} + \delta \lambda_{0} \lambda_{0} \mu_{0} + \delta \lambda_{0} \lambda_{0} \mu_{0} + \delta \lambda_{0} \lambda_{0} \lambda_{0} \mu_{0} + \delta \lambda_{0} \lambda_{0} \mu_{0} + \delta \lambda_{0} \lambda_{0} \mu_{0}$$

By Routh-Hurwitz criteria, this equilibrium point is locally asymptotically stable if following conditions are satisfied:

1.  $p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4$  and  $p_5$  are positive,

2. 
$$p_1 p_2 - p_3 > 0$$
,

3. 
$$(p_1p_2 - p_3)p_3 - p_1^2p_4 > 0,$$

4. 
$$(p_1p_2 - p_3)(p_3p_4 - p_2p_5) - (p_1p_4 - p_5)^2 > 0.$$

## Appendix C

Consider the following positive definite function about equilibrium point  $E_0$ ,

$$G = \frac{1}{2}(P - P^*)^2 + \frac{1}{2}(D - D^*)^2 + \frac{1}{2}(C - C^*)^2 + \frac{1}{2}(P_m - P_m^*)^2 + \frac{1}{2}(M - M^*)^2.$$

Differentiating G with respect to time t along the solutions of model (2.1), we get

$$\dot{G} = (P - P^*)\frac{dP}{dt} + (D - D^*)\frac{dD}{dt} + (C - C^*)\frac{dC}{dt} + (P_m - P_m^*)\frac{dP_m}{dt} + (M - M^*)\frac{dM}{dt}.$$

Using system of equation (2.1), we get after some algebraic manipulations as

$$\begin{split} \dot{G} &= -(\lambda_1 + d + \lambda_3 + \lambda M)(P - P^*)^2 - \lambda P^*(P - P^*)(M - M^*) + (\lambda M + \lambda_0)(P - P^*)(P_m - P_m^*) - \\ &(\lambda_2 + d)(D - D^*)^2 + \lambda_1(P - P^*)(D - D^*) + (\gamma + \lambda_2)(C - C^*)(D - D^*) - (\gamma + d + \nu + \delta) (C - C^*)^2 \\ &+ \lambda P^*(P_m - P_m^*)(M - M^*) - (d + \lambda_0)(P_m - P_m^*)^2 + \mu(M - M^*)(C - C^*) \\ &+ \mu(D - D^*)(M - M^*) - \mu_0(M - M^*)^2 + \lambda_3(P - P^*)(C - C^*). \end{split}$$

The above equation can further be written as sum of the quadratics

$$\begin{split} \dot{G} &= -\frac{1}{4}b_{11}(P - P^*)^2 + b_{12}(P - P^*)(D - D^*) - \frac{1}{3}b_{22}(D - D^*)^2 - \frac{1}{4}b_{11}(P - P^*)^2 + b_{13}(P - P^*)(C - C^*) \\ &\quad -\frac{1}{2}b_{33}(C - C^*)^2 - \frac{1}{4}b_{11}(P - P^*)^2 + b_{14}(P - P^*)(P_m - P_m^*) - \frac{1}{2}b_{44}(P_m^* - P_m^*)^2 \\ &\quad -\frac{1}{4}b_{11}(P - P^*)^2 + b_{15}(P - P^*)(M - M^*) - \frac{1}{4}b_{55}(M - M^*)^2 - \frac{1}{3}b_{22}(D - D^*)^2 \\ &\quad +b_{23}(D - D^*)(C - C^*) - \frac{1}{2}b_{33}(C - C^*)^2 - \frac{1}{3}b_{22}(D - D^*)^2 \end{split}$$

$$+b_{25}(D-D^{*})(M-M^{*}) - \frac{1}{4}b_{55}(M-M^{*})^{2} - \frac{1}{2}b_{33}(C-C^{*})^{2} + b_{35}(C-C^{*})(M-M^{*}) - \frac{1}{4}b_{55}(M-M^{*})^{2} - \frac{1}{2}b_{44}(P_{m}-P_{m}^{*})^{2} + b_{45}(P_{m}-P_{m}^{*})(M-M^{*}) - \frac{1}{4}b_{55}(M-M^{*})^{2}.$$

where

$$b_{11} = \lambda_1 + d + \lambda_3 + \lambda M, \ b_{12} = \lambda_1, \ b_{13} = \lambda_3, \\ b_{22} = \lambda_2 + d, \ b_{15} = \lambda P^*, \ b_{55} = \mu_0, \ b_{33} = \gamma + d + \nu + \delta, \\ b_{14} = \lambda M^*, \ b_{44} = d + \lambda_0, \ b_{23} = \gamma + \lambda_2, \ b_{25} = \mu, \\ b_{35} = \mu, \ b_{45} = \lambda P^*.$$

Sufficient condition for  $\dot{G}$  to be negative definite are that the following inequalities hold,

$$(b_{12})^{2} < \frac{1}{3}b_{11}b_{22}, (b_{13})^{2} < \frac{1}{2}b_{11}b_{33}, (b_{15})^{2} < \frac{1}{4}b_{11}b_{55}, (b_{14})^{2} < \frac{1}{2}b_{11}b_{44}, (b_{23})^{2} < \frac{2}{3}b_{22}b_{33}, (b_{25})^{2} < \frac{1}{3}b_{22}b_{55}, (b_{35})^{2} < \frac{1}{2}b_{33}b_{55}, (b_{45})^{2} < \frac{1}{2}b_{44}b_{55}.$$

Hence G is a Liapunov function with respect to equilibrium point  $E_{_0}$ , whose domain contains the region of attraction  $\Omega$ .

#### Acknowledgement

Second author thankfully acknowledges the NBHM (2/40(29)/2014/R&D-11/14138) for the financial assistance in the form of PDF.

#### References

Agarwal M. and Pathak R., 2014. The impact of awareness programs by media on the spreading and control of non-communicable diseases. *International Journal of Engineering, Science and Technology*, Vol. 6(5), pp. 78-87.

Alwan A., MacLean D.R., Riley L.M., d'Espaignet E.T., Mathers C.D. and Stevens G.A., et al. 2010. *Monitoring and surveillance of chronic non-*

communicable diseases: progress and capacity in high-burden countries. Lancet, Vol.376, pp. 1861-8. Medline:21074258 doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(10)61853-3.

Beaglehole R., Bonita R., Horton R., Adams C., Alleyne G. and Asaria P., et al. 2011. *Priority actions for the non-communicable disease crisis*. Lancet,Vol. 377, pp. 1438-47.Medline:21474174 doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(11)60393-0.

Birkhoff G. and Rota G. C.1982.Ordinary Differential Equations (GInn).

Boutayeb A. and Boutayeb S. 2005. The burden of non-communicable diseases in developing countries,

International Journal for equity in Health, Vol. 4(2), doi:10.1186/1475-9276-4-2.

Cao J., Wang Y., Alofi A., Al-Mazrooei A. and Elaiw A. 2014. Global stability of an epidemic model with carrier state in heterogeneous networks. *IMA Journal of Applied Mathematics*, doi:10.1093/imamat/hxu040.

Cui J, Sun Y, Zhu H, The impact of media on the spreading and control of infectious disease. 2008. *Journal of Dynamics and Differential Equations*, Vol. 20(1), pp. 31-53.

Diet, Nutrition and the Prevention of Chronic Diseases: Report of jointWHO/FAOExpert Consultation. Geneva. World Health Organization. 2003. WHO Technical report Series, 916.

Guo H. and Li M. 2006. Global dynamics of a staged progression model for infectious diseases. *Math. Biosci. Eng.*, Vol. 3, pp. 513-525.

Misra A.K., Sharma A. and Shukla J.B. 2011. Modeling and analysis of effects of awareness programs by media on the spread of infectious diseases. *Mathematical and Computer Modelling*, Vol.53, pp.1221-1228.

United Nations. Prevention and control of noncommunicable diseases: Report of the Secretary-General-A/66/83. New York: UN, 2011. Available at: http://www.un.org/ga/search/view\_doc.asp?symbol=A/ 66/83&referer=/ english/&Lang=E. Accessed: 8 February 2012.

Wang Y. and Cao J. 2014. Global stability of a multiple infected compartments model for waterborne diseases, Commun. *Nonlinear Sci. Numer. Simulat.*, Vol.19, pp. 3753-3765.

Wang Y. and Cao J., et al. 2013. Impact of media coverage on epidemic spreading in complex networks. *Physica A*, Vol. 393, pp. 5824-5835.

World Health Organization UN High-level Meeting on NCDs: Summary report of the discussion at the round tables. Geneva: WHO. 2011. Available at http://www.who.int/nmh/events/moscow\_ncs\_2011/ro und\_tabes\_summary.pdf. Accessed:8 December 2012.