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#### Abstract

To use the theoretical approach, by means of the conventional material constants, to adopt the simple stress-, or strain-parameter way and the two-parameters multiplication method, to establish numerous new calculation models in whole damage growth process for elastic-plastic steels, which are the equations of the damage driving forces and the life predictions; To use yet different form, to put forward the damage rate linking equations and lifetime calculating expressions. For the transition value from micro to macro damage growth stage, to provide concretely the calculation processes, the steps and the methods; For some key materials constants to give New physical and geometrical significances. Thereby to realize the lifetime predicting calculations in whole process based on conventional material constants with two kinds of methods.
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## I. Introduction

As everyone knows for the conventional material mechanics, that is a calculable subject, and has made valuable contributions for every industrial engineering designs and calculations. But it cannot accurately calculate the life problems for some structures when it is pre-existing flaws and under repeated loading. In that it has no to contain such calculable parameters as the damage variable $D$ or as the crack variable $a$ in their calculating models. On the other hand, inside the damage mechanics and the fracture mechanics, due to there are these variables, so they can just calculate above problems. But nowadays latter these disciplines are all subjects mainly depended on fatigue, damage and fracture tests.

Author thinks, in the mechanics, aviation, machinery and civil engineering etc fields, in which are also to exist such scientific principles of similar to
genetic and clone technology in life science. Author has done some of works used the theoretical approach as above the similar principles [1-8]. For example, for some strength calculation models from micro to macro are provided by reference [1], for some rate calculation models from micro to macro damage growth are proposed by references [2-8], which are some models in each stage even in whole process, under different loading conditions. Two years ago, in order to do the lifetime calculations in whole process on fatigue-damage-fracture for an engineering structure, author was by means of Google Scholar to search the lifetime prediction models, as had been no found for this kind of calculation equations. After then, author continues to research this item, and bases on was provided and recently is complemented called as the comprehensive figure 1 of material fatigue-damage-fracture (or called as calculating figure of material behaviors) [3]; still applies above genetic principles, to study and analyze data in references, thereby to provide some new calculable models for the new damage growth driving force and for the lifetime predictions. Try to make the fatigue and the damage mechanics, step by step become calculable disciplines as the material mechanics, that are via theoretical calculation is given as priority, via the experiments is verified as complementary. That way, may be having practical significances for decrease experiments, stint man powers and funds, for promoting engineering applying and developing to relevant disciplines.
II. LIFE PREDICTING CALCULATIONS IN WHOLE PROCESS FOR ELASTIC-PLASTIC STEELS CONTAINING FLAW

For some elastic-plastic steels of pre-existed flaw, in micro damage growth processes, about its driving force, rate and life's calculation equations for which have been proposed in reference [1-8]; And its driving force, rate and life's calculating problems for macro damage processes, some models have also provided in references [2-3].

And in this paper, from micro-damage to macrodamage, it uses a called as "the single parameters method" and "two-parameter multiplication-method" for
the life put up the whole process calculations, that are by means of the stress $\sigma$ or the $\varepsilon$ as "genetic element" in first stage [3], or by the stress intensity factor $K_{1}$ and the crack tip open displacement range $\delta_{t}$ as "genetic element" in the second stage, to establish various calculable models for the driving force, the rate and the fife, thereby achieve life prediction calculations in whole process under low cycle fatigue loading.
A. The Calculations for micro damage process

1) The single parameter method

Under $\sigma>\sigma_{s}$ condition, micro-damage life equation corresponded to reversed direction curve $C_{1} C$ in Fig1, here to adopt the strain range $\Delta \varepsilon_{p}$ expressing, that is as following form

$$
\begin{align*}
& N_{1}=\int_{D_{1}}^{D_{r r}} \frac{d D_{1}}{B_{1}^{\prime} \times(\Delta I)^{m_{1}^{\prime}}}(\text { Cycle }),\left(\sigma>\sigma_{s}\right)  \tag{1-1}\\
& \text { Or } N_{1}=\int_{D_{1}}^{D_{r r}} \frac{d D_{1}}{B_{1}^{\prime} \times\left(\Delta \varepsilon_{p}\right)^{m_{1}^{\prime}} D_{1}}(\text { Cycle }),\left(\sigma>\sigma_{s}\right)  \tag{1-2}\\
& I_{1}^{\prime}=\left(\varepsilon_{p}\right)^{m_{1}^{\prime}} \cdot D_{1},\left(\%^{m_{1}^{\prime}} \cdot \text { damage }- \text { nuit }- \text { namber }\right)  \tag{2}\\
& \Delta I_{1}^{\prime}=\left(\Delta \varepsilon_{p}\right)^{m_{1}^{\prime}} \cdot D_{1},\left(\%^{m_{1}^{\prime}} \cdot \text { damage - nuit - namber }\right)  \tag{3}\\
& B_{1}^{\prime}=2\left[2 \varepsilon_{f}^{\prime}\right]^{-m_{1}^{\prime}} \times\left(v_{e f f}\right)^{-1} \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

Here the damage variable $D_{1}$ (or below $D_{2}$ and $D$ ) is a non-dimensional value, it is equivalent to short crack $a_{1}$ discussed as reference[1-3], $D_{t r}$ is a transition damage value between two stages from micro to macro damage growth process. Here must put up conversion for dimensions and units, and must be defined in 1 mm ( 1 millimeter) of crack length equivalent to one of damage-unite (1 damage unit), in 1m (1 meter) equivalent to 1000 of damage-unit (1000 damage units). The $I_{1}^{\prime}$ is defined as damage strain factor, that is driving force of damage growth under monotonous load; $\Delta I_{1}^{\prime}$ is defined as damage strain factor range, that is driving force of damage growth under fatigue loading, their units are "\% ${ }^{m_{1}^{\prime}}$. damage - nuit - namber", in practice it is also a non-dimensional value. $\varepsilon^{\prime}{ }_{f}$ is a fatigue ductility factor, $m_{1}^{\prime}$ is fatigue ductility exponent, $m_{1}^{\prime}=-1 / c_{1}^{\prime}, c_{1}^{\prime}$ just is also a fatigue ductility exponent under low cycle fatigue. The $B_{1}^{\prime}$ is comprehensive material constants, its physical meaning is a concept of power, is a maximal increment value to give out energy for damage growth in one cycle before failure.

Its geometrical meaning is a maximal micro-trapezium area approximating to beeline (Fig1), that is a projection of corresponding to curve 2 on the $y$-axis, also is an intercept between $O_{1}-O_{3}$. Its slope of micro-trapezium bevel edge just is corresponding to the exponent $m_{1}^{\prime}$ of the formula (4). So the $B_{1}^{\prime}$ is a calculable comprehensive material constants.

Where

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{e f f}^{\prime}=\frac{\ln \left(D_{1 f} / D_{0}\right)}{N_{1 f c}-N_{01}}=\frac{\left.\left[\ln \left(D_{1 f} / D_{0}\right)-\ln D_{1} / D_{01}\right)\right]}{N_{1 f}-N_{01}}[ \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

(damage - unit - number/cycle)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { or } v_{\text {eff }}^{\prime}=\frac{D_{1 f} \ln (1 / 1-\psi)}{N_{1 f}-N_{01}} \square \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

> (damage - unit - number/cycle)

The $v_{\text {eff }}^{\prime}$ in eqn (4-6) is defined as an effective rate correction factor in first stage, its physical meaning is the effective damage rate to cause whole failure of specimen material in a cycle, its unit is the damage-unit-number/cycle . $\psi$ is a reduction of area. $D_{0}$ is pre-micro-damage value which has no effect on fatigue damage under prior cycle loading [9]. $D_{01}$ is an initial damage value, $D_{f}$ is a critical damage value before failure, $N_{01}$ is initial life in first stage, $N_{01}=0 ; N_{1 f}$ is failure life, $N_{1 f}=1$. Such, its final expansion equation for eqn. (1) is as following form,

$$
\begin{align*}
& N_{1}=\frac{\ln D_{t r}-\ln D_{1}}{2\left(2 \varepsilon_{f}^{\prime}\right)^{\square m_{1}^{\prime}} \times\left(V_{\text {eff }}\right)^{-1}\left(\Delta \varepsilon_{p}\right)^{m_{1}^{\prime}}},  \tag{7}\\
& \text { (Cycle) },\left(\sigma>\sigma_{s}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

If materials occur strain hardening, and want to via the stress $\sigma$ to express it, due to plastic strain occur cyclic hysteresis loop effect, then the life predicting equation corresponded to reversed direction curve $C_{1} C$ in Fig1 should be

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{1}=\int_{D_{1}}^{D_{r r}} \frac{d D_{1}}{A_{1}^{\prime} \times\left(\Delta H_{1}^{\prime} / 2\right)^{m_{1}}}(\text { Cycle }),\left(\sigma>\sigma_{s}\right) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here

$$
\begin{align*}
& H_{1}^{\prime}=\sigma \cdot D_{1}^{1 / m_{1}}  \tag{9}\\
& \Delta H_{1}^{\prime}=\Delta \sigma \cdot D_{1}^{1 / m_{1}} \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

$H_{1}^{\prime}$ is defined as the damage stress factor, the $\Delta H_{1}^{\prime} / 2$ is damage stress factor amplitude. Same, that $H_{1}^{\prime}$ is driving force of damage evolving under
monotonous loading, and the $\Delta H^{\prime}{ }_{1}$ is driving force of under fatigue loading. Its physical and geometrical meanings of the $A_{1}^{\prime}$ are similar to the $B_{1}^{\prime} . A_{1}^{\prime}$ is also calculable comprehensive material constant, for $\sigma_{m}=0$, it is as below

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{1}^{\prime}=2\left(2 \sigma_{f}^{\prime}\right)^{-m_{1}}\left(v_{e f f}\right)^{-1},\left(\sigma_{m}=0\right) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

But if $\sigma_{m} \neq 0$, here the correction for mean stress, to adopt a method in reference [10], it is as follow

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{1}^{\prime}=2\left[2 \sigma_{f}^{\prime}\left(1-\sigma_{m} / \sigma_{f}\right)\right]^{-m_{1}}\left(v_{e f f}\right)^{-1},\left(\sigma_{m} \neq 0\right) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Or

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{1}^{\prime}=2 K^{\prime-m_{1}}\left[2 \varepsilon_{f}^{\prime}\left(1-\sigma_{m} / \sigma_{f}\right)\right]^{1 / c^{\prime}} \times\left(v_{e f f}\right)^{-1} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\left(\sigma_{m} \neq 0\right)$
Where the $\sigma_{f}^{\prime}$ is a fatigue strength coefficient, $K^{\prime}$ is a cyclic strength coefficient. $m_{1}=-1 / b_{1}^{\prime}, m_{1}$ and $b_{1}^{\prime}$ are the fatigue strength exponent. $m_{1}=-1 / c_{1}^{\prime} \times n^{\prime}, n^{\prime}=b_{1}^{\prime} / c_{1}^{\prime}, n^{\prime}$ is a strain hardening exponent. So that, the final expansion equation for (8) is as below form,

$$
\begin{align*}
& N_{o i}=\frac{\ln D_{o i}-\ln D_{1}}{2\left[2 \sigma_{f}^{\prime}\right]^{-m_{1}}\left(v_{e f f}\right)^{-1} \times(\Delta \sigma / 2)^{m_{1}}}  \tag{14}\\
& \left(\sigma>\sigma_{s}, \sigma_{m}=0\right) \\
& N_{o i}=\frac{\ln D_{o i}-\ln D_{1}}{2\left[2 \sigma_{f}^{\prime}\left(1-\sigma_{m} / \sigma_{f}\right)\right]^{-m_{1}}\left(v_{e f f}\right)^{-1} \times(\Delta \sigma / 2)^{m_{1}}}  \tag{15}\\
& \left(\sigma>\sigma_{s}, \sigma_{m} \neq 0\right)
\end{align*}
$$

If take formula (13) to replace the $A_{1}^{\prime}$ in equation (8), its final expansion equation is as below forming

Here influence of mean stress in eqn (15-16) can be ignored.
2)The two parameter multiplication method

$$
\begin{aligned}
& N_{o i}=\frac{\ln D_{o i}-\ln D_{1}}{2 K^{\prime-m_{1}}\left[2 \varepsilon_{f}^{\prime}\left(1-\sigma_{m} / \sigma_{f}\right)\right]^{1 / c^{\prime}} \times\left(v_{e f f}\right)^{-1} \times(\Delta \sigma / 2)^{m_{1}}} \\
& \left(\sigma>\sigma_{s}, \sigma_{m} \neq 0\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Same, under $\sigma>\sigma_{s}$ condition, if adopt the two parameter multiplication method to express life equation corresponded to reversed direction curve $C_{1} C$, it is as following

$$
\begin{gather*}
N_{1}=\int_{D_{1}}^{D_{r}} \frac{d D_{1}}{A_{1}^{\prime^{*} \times\left(0.25 \Delta Q_{1}^{\prime}\right)^{\frac{m_{1} m_{1}^{\prime}}{m_{1}+m_{1}^{\prime}}}}(\text { Cycle })}  \tag{17-1}\\
\text { or } \\
N_{1}=\int_{D_{1}}^{D_{L_{r}}} \frac{d D_{1}}{A_{1}^{*} \times(0.25 \Delta \sigma \times \Delta \varepsilon)^{\frac{m_{1} m_{1}^{\prime}}{m_{1}+m_{1}^{\prime}}} \times D_{1}}(\text { (Cycle }) \tag{17-2}
\end{gather*}
$$

Where the $Q_{1}^{\prime}$ is defined as the damage $Q_{1}^{\prime}$-factor of two-parameter, the $\Delta Q_{1}^{\prime}$ is defined the damage $Q_{1}^{\prime}$-factor range of two-parameter.

$$
\begin{align*}
& Q_{1}^{\prime}=(\varepsilon \cdot \sigma) D_{1}{ }^{1 / \frac{m_{1} m_{1}^{\prime}}{m_{1}+m_{1}^{\prime}}}  \tag{18}\\
& \Delta Q_{1}^{\prime}=(\Delta \varepsilon \cdot \Delta \sigma) D_{1}^{1 / \frac{m_{1} m_{1}^{\prime}}{m_{1}+m_{1}^{\prime}}}  \tag{19}\\
& A_{1}^{\prime^{*}}=2\left[4\left(\sigma^{\prime}{ }_{f} \varepsilon^{\prime}{ }_{f}\right)\right]^{-\frac{m_{1} m_{1}^{\prime}}{m_{1}+m_{1}^{\prime}}} \times\left(v_{e f f}\right)^{-1} \\
& \left(\mathrm{MPa}^{\frac{m_{1} m_{1}^{\prime}}{m_{1}+m_{1}^{\prime}}} \text { damage-nuit - number/cycle }\right) \\
& \left(\sigma_{m}=0\right) \tag{20}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& A_{1}^{\prime^{*}}=2\left[4\left(\sigma_{f}^{\prime} \varepsilon^{\prime}{ }_{f}\right)\left(1-\sigma_{m} / \sigma_{f}^{\prime}\right)\right]^{-\frac{m_{1} m_{1}^{\prime}}{m_{1}+m_{1}^{\prime}}} \times\left(v_{\text {eff }}\right)^{-1}, \\
& \left(\mathrm{MPa}^{\frac{m_{1} m_{1}^{\prime}}{m_{1}+m_{1}^{\prime}}} \text { damage-nuit - number/cycle }\right)\left(\sigma_{m} \neq 0\right) \tag{21}
\end{align*}
$$

Same, the eqn (18) is driving force of microdamage under monotonic loading, and the eqn (19) is driving force under fatigue loading. It should be point that, the parameter $A_{1}^{*}$ in eqn (17) is also a comprehensive material constant. Its physical and geometrical meaning of the $A_{1}^{*}$ is similar to above the $A_{1}^{\prime}$. And its slope of micro-trapezium bevel edge just is corresponding to the exponent $m_{1} m^{\prime} /\left(m_{1}+m_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ of the formula (20-21). By the way, here is also to adopt those material constants $\sigma_{f}^{\prime}, b_{1}^{\prime}, \varepsilon_{f}^{\prime}, c_{1}^{\prime}$ as "genes" in the fatigue damage subject [3]. Therefore, for the eqn (17), its final expansion equation corresponded reversed to curve 2' $\left(C_{1} C\right)$ (Fig 1.) is as below form:
$N_{1}=\frac{\ln D_{t r}-\ln D_{1}}{2\left(4 \sigma^{\prime}{ }_{f} \varepsilon^{\prime}{ }_{f}\right)^{-\frac{m_{1} m_{1}^{\prime}}{m_{1}+m_{1}^{\prime}}} \times\left(v_{\text {eff }}\right)^{-1} \times(0.25 \Delta \sigma \times \Delta \varepsilon)^{\frac{m_{1} m_{1}^{\prime}}{m_{1}+m_{1}^{\prime}}}}$
$,($ Cycle $),\left(\sigma_{m}=0\right)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& N_{o i}=\frac{\ln D_{o i}-\ln D_{01}}{2\left[4\left(\sigma^{\prime}{ }_{f} \varepsilon^{\prime}{ }_{f}\right)\left(1-\sigma_{m} / \sigma^{\prime}{ }_{f}\right)\right]^{-\frac{m_{1} m_{1}^{\prime}}{m_{1}+m_{1}^{\prime}}} \times\left(v_{e f f}\right)^{-1}} \\
& \times \frac{1}{\times(0.25 \Delta \sigma \times \Delta \varepsilon)^{\frac{m m_{1}^{\prime}}{m_{1}+m_{1}^{\prime}}}},\left(\sigma_{m} \neq 0\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Here, influence of mean stress in eqn (23) can also be ignored. But it must point that the total strain range $\Delta \varepsilon$ in eqn (22-23) should be calculated by Masing law as following eqn.[11]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta \varepsilon=\frac{\Delta \sigma}{E}+2\left(\frac{\Delta \sigma}{2 K^{\prime}}\right)^{\frac{1}{n^{\prime}}} \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

B) The Calculations for macro-damage process

1) The single parameter method

Under $\sigma>\sigma_{s}$ condition, due to the material behavior come into the macro-damage stage, the exponent in life equation also to show change from $m_{1}^{\prime}$ to $\lambda_{2}$; and due to still occur cyclic hysteresis loop effect, its life models corresponded to reversed curve $C_{2} C_{1}$ in figure 1 is as below form

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{2 e f f}=\int_{D_{v}}^{D_{2 e f f}} \frac{d D_{2}}{B_{2}^{\prime} \times\left[y_{2}(a / b) \Delta \delta_{t}^{\prime} / 2\right]^{x_{2}}}(\text { Cycle }),\left(\sigma>\sigma_{s}\right) \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Where

$$
\begin{align*}
& \delta_{t}^{\prime}=0.5 \pi \times \sigma_{s} \times D_{2}\left(\sigma / \sigma_{s}+1\right) / E  \tag{26}\\
& \Delta \delta_{t}^{\prime}=0.5 \pi \times \sigma_{s} \times D_{2}\left(\Delta \sigma / 2 \sigma_{s}+1\right) / E \tag{27}
\end{align*}
$$

Here $\delta_{t}^{\prime}$ is a defined as the damage crack tip open displacement, that is equivalent to the crack tip open displacement, it is the driving force under monotonous load. Here must define the "1-damage unit crack tip open displacement" value equivalent to " 1 mm crack tip open displacement" value. $\Delta \delta_{t}^{\prime}$ is defined as the damage crack tip open displacement range, it is the driving force under repeated loading. The $y_{2}(a / b)$ is correction factor [12] related to long crack form and structure size. Here should note the $B_{2}^{\prime}$ is also defined as a calculable comprehensive material constant,
$B_{2}^{\prime}=2\left[\left(\pi \sigma_{s}\left(\sigma_{f}^{\prime} / \sigma_{s}+1\right) D_{2 e f f} / E\right)\right]^{\lambda_{2}} \times v_{p v},\left(\sigma_{m}=0\right)$
$B_{2}^{\prime}=2\left[\left(\pi \sigma_{s}\left(\sigma^{\prime}{ }_{f} / \sigma_{s}+1\right)\left(1-\sigma_{m} / \sigma^{\prime}{ }_{f}\right) D_{2 e f f} / E\right)\right]^{-\lambda_{2}} \times v_{p v}$, $\left(\sigma_{m} \neq 0\right)$
(28-2)

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{p v}^{\prime}=\frac{\left(D_{2 p v}-D_{02}\right)}{N_{p v}-N_{02}} \approx 3 \times 10^{-5} \sim 3 \times 10^{-4}=v^{*} \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

(damage - unit - number / Cycle)
Where $\lambda_{2}$ is a ductility exponent in macro damage process, $\lambda_{2}=-1 / c_{2}^{\prime}, c_{2}^{\prime}$ is a fatigue ductility exponent under low cycle. the $v_{p v}$ is defined to be the virtual damage rate, its physical meaning is an effective damage rate to cause whole failure of specimen material in a cycle in the second stage, its unit is damage - unit - number / Cycle, its value is similar to the factor $v^{*}$-value in reference [13], but both units are different, where is the " $\mathrm{m} /$ Cycle". The $D_{2 p v}$ is a virtual damage value, $D_{02}$ is an initial damage value as equivalent to a precrack size. $N_{02}$ is an initial life, $N_{02}=0 . N_{p v}$ is a virtual life, $N_{p v}=1$.

So that, the final expansion equations is derived from above mentioned eqn. (25) as follow

For $\sigma_{m}=0$,
$N_{2 \text { eff }}=\frac{\frac{1}{1-\lambda_{2}}\left(D_{2 e f f}{ }^{1-\lambda_{2}}-D_{02}{ }^{1-\lambda_{2}}\right)}{2\left[\left(\pi \sigma_{s}\left(\sigma_{f}^{\prime} / \sigma_{s}+1\right) D_{2 e f f} / E\right)\right]^{-\lambda_{2}} \times v_{p v}}$
$\times \frac{1}{\left[y_{2}(a / b) \frac{0.5 \pi \sigma_{s} y_{2}(a / b)\left(\Delta \sigma / 2 \sigma_{s}+1\right)}{E}\right]^{\lambda_{2}}}$, (cycle)
For $\sigma_{m} \neq 0$, it should be
$N_{2 e f f}=\frac{\frac{1}{1-\lambda_{2}}\left(D_{2 e f f}{ }^{1-\lambda_{2}}-D_{02}{ }^{1-\lambda_{2}}\right)}{2\left[\left(\pi \sigma_{s}\left(\sigma_{f}^{\prime} / \sigma_{s}+1\right)\left(1-\sigma_{m} / \sigma_{f}^{\prime}\right) D_{2 e f f} / E\right)\right]^{-\lambda_{2}} \times v_{p v}}$
$\times \frac{1}{\left[y_{2}(a / b) \frac{0.5 \pi \sigma_{s} y_{2}\left(\Delta \sigma / 2 \sigma_{s}+1\right)}{E}\right]^{\lambda_{2}}}$, (cycle)
Where, influence to mean stress can usually ignored in the eqn (31). $D_{2 e f f}$ is an effective damage value, it can calculate from effective damage crack tip opening displacement $\delta^{\prime}{ }_{\text {2eff }}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{2 e f f}=\frac{E \times \delta_{2 e f f}^{\prime}}{\pi \sigma_{s}\left(\sigma_{f}^{\prime} / \sigma_{s}+1\right)},(\text { damage }- \text { unit }- \text { number }) \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

And

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta_{2 e f f}^{\prime}=(0.25 \sim 0.4) \delta_{c}^{\prime},(\text { damage - unit - number }) \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here the $\delta_{c}^{\prime}$ is critical damage crack tip displacement, it is equivalent the critical crack tip displacement $\delta_{c}$ in fracture mechanics, both is only on the unit to be different. So the $D_{2 e f f}$ in (30-31) can be converted and calculated out by $\delta_{c}$-value in " 1 mm " value equivalent to "1 damage-unit" by means of equations (32-33). It must be point that the life units in eqns (25,30-31) are all cyclic number.
2) The two parameter multiplication method

With two-parameter-multiplication method to calculate the life in the second stage, it can yet use two kinds of methods: the $Q_{2}^{\prime}$-factor method and the $\sigma$-stress method.
a) $Q_{2}^{\prime}$-Factor method

To use $Q_{2}^{\prime}$-factor method calculating the macro damage life, here its effective life models corresponded to reversed curve $C_{2} C_{1}$ in figure 1 is as below form

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{2 e f f}=\int_{D_{D_{r}}}^{D_{\text {eff }}} \frac{d D_{2}}{B_{2}^{*_{2}^{*} \times\left(0.25 y_{2}(a / b) \Delta Q_{2}^{\prime}\right)^{\frac{m_{2} \lambda^{\prime} 2}{m_{2}+\lambda_{2}^{\prime}}}}} \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

(Cycle), $\left(\sigma>\sigma_{s}\right)$
Where

$$
\begin{align*}
& Q_{2}^{\prime}=y_{2}(a / b) K_{1}^{\prime} \delta_{t}^{\prime}, \\
& \text { (MPa } \cdot \sqrt{\text { damage -unit - number }} \cdot \text { damage - unit - number })  \tag{35}\\
& \Delta Q_{2}^{\prime}=y_{2}(a / b)\left(\Delta K_{2}^{\prime} \cdot \Delta \delta_{t}^{\prime}\right), \\
& (\text { MPa } \cdot \sqrt{\text { damage -unit - number }} \cdot \text { damage - unit - number }) \tag{36}
\end{align*}
$$

$K_{1}^{\prime}=\sigma \sqrt{\pi D_{2}},(M P a \sqrt{\text { danage }- \text { unit }- \text { number }}$

The $Q_{2}^{\prime}$-factor and $\Delta Q_{2}^{\prime}$ are all macro damage driving force, which are respectively under monotonous and repeated loading, their unit are all " MPa $\cdot \sqrt{\text { damage - unit - number }} \cdot$ damage - unit - number ". The $K_{1}^{\prime}$ is defined as damage stress intensity factor, the unit is also "MPa• $\sqrt{\text { damage-unit-number }}$, it is equivalent to stress intensity factor; the $\delta_{t}^{\prime}$ is a damage crack tip open displacement, its unit is dimensionless, by " damage - unit - number" to express. $B_{2}^{*}$ is also calculable comprehensive material constant, on exponent as compared with above eqn (20) and (21) that is not different.

$$
\begin{align*}
& B_{2}^{\prime *}=2\left[4\left(K_{2 f c}^{\prime} \delta_{2 f c}^{\prime}\right)\right]^{-\frac{m_{2} \lambda^{\prime} 2}{m_{2}+\lambda_{2}^{\prime}}} \times v_{p v}^{\prime},\left(\sigma_{m}=0\right) \\
& ,\left(M P a^{\frac{m_{2} \lambda^{\prime} 2}{m_{2}+\lambda_{2}^{\prime}}} \cdot \text { damage }- \text { unit }-\right. \text { number / cycle } \tag{38-1}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{2}^{* *}=2\left[4 K_{2 f c}^{\prime} \delta_{2 f c}^{\prime}\left(1-K_{2 m}^{\prime} / K_{2 f c}^{\prime}\right)\right]^{-\frac{m_{2} \lambda^{2} 2}{m_{2}+\lambda_{2}^{\prime}}} \times v_{p v}^{\prime},\left(\sigma_{m} \neq 0\right) \tag{38-2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where $m_{2}$ is an linear elastic exponent in long crack growth process, $m_{2}=-1 / b_{2}^{\prime}$. And $\lambda_{2}$ is a ductility exponent, $\lambda_{2}=-1 / c_{2}^{\prime}$.

And the effective life expanded equation corresponded to reversed direction curve $D_{2} D_{1}$ should be

$$
\text { For } \sigma_{m}=0
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{2 e f f}=\frac{\frac{4\left(m_{2}+\lambda_{2}\right)}{4 m_{2}+4 \lambda_{2}-6 m_{2} \lambda_{2}}\left(D_{2 e f f}^{\frac{2 m_{2}+2 \lambda_{2}-3 m_{2} \lambda_{2}}{2\left(m_{2}+\lambda_{2}\right)}}-D_{02}^{\frac{2 m_{2}+2 \lambda_{2}-3 m_{2} \lambda_{2}}{2\left(m_{2}+\lambda_{2}\right)}}\right)}{2\left[4 K^{\prime}{ }_{2 c} \delta_{2 c}^{\prime}\right]^{-\frac{m_{2} \lambda_{2}}{m_{2}+\lambda_{2}}} \times v_{p v}^{\prime} \times\left[0.25 y_{2}(a / b) \Delta K_{2}^{\prime} \cdot \Delta \delta_{t}^{\prime}\right]^{\frac{m_{2} \lambda_{2}+\lambda_{2}}{m_{2}}}},(\text { Cycle }) \tag{39-1}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $\sigma_{m} \neq 0$


In reference [14-15] refer to the effective stress intensity factor in fracture mechanics. Same, here there are also two effective values $K_{\text {2eff }}^{\prime}$ and $\delta_{2 e f f}^{\prime}$ corresponding to the critical $K_{2 f c}^{\prime}$ and $\delta_{2 f c}^{\prime}$, to propose as follow,

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{2 e f f} \approx(0.25-0.4) K_{2 f c}^{\prime} ; \delta_{2 e f f}^{\prime}=(0.25-0.4) \delta_{2 f c}^{\prime} \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where the $D_{2 \text { eff }}$ in (39) is an effective damage value, it is obtained and calculated from eqns (32-33), (40) and to take less value.
b) $\sigma$-Stress method

If adopt stress to express it, the $\Delta Q_{2}^{\prime}$ and $B_{2}^{*}$ in eqn (34) are all to express by the stress $\sigma$, it should be as follow

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.Q_{2}^{\prime}=0.5 y_{2}(a / b) \sigma \cdot \sigma_{s}\left(\sqrt{\pi D_{2}}\right)^{3}\left(\sigma / \sigma_{s}+1\right)\right] / E \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.\Delta Q_{2}^{\prime}=0.5 y_{2}(a / b) \sigma \cdot \sigma_{s}\left(\sqrt{\pi D_{2}}\right)^{3}\left(\Delta \sigma / 2 \sigma_{s}+1\right)\right] / E \\
& \quad \text { For } \sigma=0
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{2}^{*}=2\left\{\left[\frac{\sigma_{f c} \cdot \sigma_{s}\left(\sigma_{f c} / \sigma_{s}+1\right)}{E}\left(\sqrt{\pi D_{2 f}}\right)^{3}\right]\right\}^{-\frac{m_{2} \lambda_{2}}{m_{2}+\lambda_{2}}} \times v_{p v}^{\prime} \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\left(\mathrm{MPa}^{\frac{m_{2} \lambda_{2}}{m_{2}+\lambda_{2}}} \cdot\right.$ damage - unit - number / cycle $)$
For $\sigma \neq 0$

$$
\begin{align*}
& B_{2}^{*}=2\left\{\left[\frac{\sigma_{f c} \cdot \sigma_{s}\left(\sigma_{f c} / \sigma_{s}+1\right)}{E}\left(\sqrt{\pi D_{2 f}}\right)^{3}\right]\right. \\
& \left.\times\left(1-\sigma_{m} / \sigma_{f c}\right)\right\}^{-\frac{m_{2} \lambda_{2}}{m_{2}+\lambda_{2}}} \times v_{p v} \tag{44}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\left(\mathrm{MPa}^{\frac{m_{2} \lambda_{2}}{m_{2}+\lambda_{2}}} \cdot \text { damage }- \text { unit - number / cycle }\right)
$$

Therefore the residual life equation of corresponded to reversed direction curve $D_{2} D_{1}$ in fig.1, its final expansion equation is as below form,

For $\sigma_{m}=0$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.N_{2 \text { eff }}=\frac{\frac{4\left(m_{2}+\lambda_{2}\right)}{4 m_{2}+4 \lambda_{2}-6 m_{2} \lambda_{2}}\left(D_{2 \text { eff }}^{\frac{2 m_{2}+2 \lambda_{2}-3 m_{2} \lambda_{2}}{2\left(m_{2}+\lambda_{2}\right)}}-D_{02}^{\frac{2 m_{2}+2 \lambda_{2}-3 m_{2} \lambda_{2}}{2\left(m_{2}+\lambda_{2}\right)}}\right.}{2\left\{\left[\frac{\sigma_{f c} \cdot \sigma_{s}\left(\sigma_{f c} / \sigma_{s}+1\right)}{E}\left(\sqrt{\pi D_{2 e f f}}\right)^{3}\right]\right\}^{-\frac{m_{2} \lambda_{2}}{m_{2}+\lambda_{2}}}}\right) \\
& \times \frac{1}{\left(\left[y_{2}(a / b) 0.5 \sigma \cdot \sigma_{s}\left(\sqrt{\pi D_{2}}\right)^{3}\left(\sigma / \sigma_{s}+1\right)\right] / E\right)^{\frac{m_{2} \lambda_{2}}{m_{2}+\lambda_{2}}}},(\text { cycle }) \tag{45}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { For } \\
& N_{2 e f f}=\frac{\frac{4\left(m_{2}+\lambda_{2}\right)}{4 m_{2}+4 \lambda_{2}-6 m_{2} \lambda_{2}}\left(D_{2 \text { eff }}^{\frac{2 m_{2}+2 \lambda_{2}-3 m_{2} \lambda_{2}}{2\left(m_{2}+\lambda_{2}\right)}}-D_{02}^{\frac{2 m_{2}+2 \lambda_{2}-3 m_{2} \lambda_{2}}{2\left(m_{2}+\lambda_{2}\right)}}\right)}{2\left\{\left[\frac{\sigma_{f c} \cdot \sigma_{s}\left(\sigma_{f c} / \sigma_{s}+1\right)}{E}\left(\sqrt{\pi D_{2 e f f}}\right)^{3}\right]\left(1-\sigma_{m} / \sigma_{f c}\right)\right\}^{-\frac{m_{2} \lambda_{2}}{m_{2}+\lambda_{2}}}} \\
& \times \frac{1}{\left(\left[y_{2}(a / b) 0.5 \sigma \cdot \sigma_{s}\left(\sqrt{\pi D_{2}}\right)^{3}\left(\sigma / \sigma_{s}+1\right)\right] / E\right)^{\frac{m_{2} \lambda_{2}}{m_{2}+\lambda_{2}}}},(\text { cycle }) \tag{46}
\end{align*}
$$

C. The damage life prediction calculations in whole process

1) The single parameter method

In damage growth process, for availing to life calculation in whole process, it should take a damage value $D_{t r}$ of transition point between two stages from micro to macro damage evolving process, and the transition point $D_{t r}$ can be derived to make equal between the damage rate equations in two stages, for instance,

$$
\begin{equation*}
d D_{1} / d N_{1}=d D_{t r} / d N_{t r}=d D_{2} / d N_{2} \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here the equation is defined as the damage rate linking equations. For $\sigma_{m} \neq 0$, if to select driving force equations (10) and (27), and for relative comprehensive material constant $A_{1}^{\prime}$ and $B_{2}^{\prime}$ to select formula (13) and (29), so its expanded damage rate linking equation for eqn (47) corresponding to positive curve $C C_{1} C_{2}$ is as following form,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{d D_{1}}{d N}=\left\{2 K^{\prime-m_{1}}\left[2 \varepsilon^{\prime}{ }_{f}\right]^{1 / c^{\prime}} \times\left(v_{f} \times D_{t r}\right)^{-1} \times(\Delta \sigma / 2)^{m_{1}} \times D\right\}_{D_{01} \rightarrow D_{t r}} \\
& =\frac{d D_{t r}}{d N}=\frac{d D_{2 t r}}{d N_{2}}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
2\left[\left(\pi \sigma_{s}\left(\sigma_{f}^{\prime} / \sigma_{s}+1\right) D_{e f f} / E\right)\right]^{-\lambda_{2}} \times v_{p v} \\
\times\left[\frac{0.5 \pi \sigma_{s} y_{2}\left(\Delta \sigma / 2 \sigma_{s}+1\right) D}{E}\right]^{\lambda_{2}}
\end{array}\right\}_{D_{t r} \rightarrow D_{e f f}},
\end{aligned},
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { damage - unit - number / cycle, }(\sigma \neq 0) \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover the life equations in whole process corresponding to reversed direction curve $C_{2} C_{1} C$ should be as following

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum N=N_{1}+N_{2}=\int_{D_{01}}^{D_{r r}} \frac{d D}{A_{1}^{\prime} \times(\Delta \sigma / 2)^{m_{1}} \times D}+\int_{D_{r r}}^{D_{2 e f f}} \frac{d D}{B_{2}^{\prime}\left(\Delta \delta_{t}^{\prime} / 2\right)^{\lambda_{2}}} \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

The life prediction expanded expression in whole process corresponded reversed curve $C_{2} C_{1} C$, it should be

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum N=\int_{D_{01}}^{D_{t r}} \frac{d D}{2 K^{\prime-m_{1}}\left[2 \varepsilon_{f}^{\prime}\right]^{1 / c^{\prime}} \times\left(D_{f} \cdot v_{\text {eff }}\right)^{-1} \times(\Delta \sigma / 2)^{m_{1}} \times D} \\
& +\int_{D_{t r}}^{D_{2 e f f}} \times \frac{1}{2\left[\left(\pi \sigma_{s}\left(\sigma_{f}^{\prime} / \sigma_{s}+1\right) D_{2 e f f} / E\right)\right]^{\lambda_{2}} \times v_{p v}}  \tag{50}\\
& {\left[\frac{d D}{\left[\frac{0.5 \pi \sigma_{s} y_{2}\left(\Delta \sigma / 2 \sigma_{s}+1\right) D}{E}\right]^{\lambda_{2}}},(\text { cycle })\right.}
\end{align*}
$$

2) The two parameter multiplication method

For two parameter multiplication method, if in $\sigma_{m} \neq 0$ as example, its expanded damage rate linking
equation for (47) corresponding to positive curve $C C_{1} C_{2}$ is as following form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { damage - unit - number / cycle,., }(\sigma \neq 0) \tag{51}
\end{equation*}
$$

The life equations in whole process corresponding to reversed direction curve $C_{2} C_{1} C$ should be as following

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum N=N_{1}+N_{2}=\int_{D_{01}}^{D_{r r}} \frac{d D}{A_{1}^{*} \times\left(\Delta Q_{1} / 2\right)^{m_{1}} \times D}+\int_{D_{t r}}^{D_{2 e f f}} \frac{d D}{B_{2}^{*}\left(\Delta Q_{2} / 2\right)^{\lambda_{2}}} \tag{52}
\end{equation*}
$$

And the life prediction expanded expression in whole process, corresponding reversed curve $C_{2} C_{1} C$, it should be

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum N=\int_{D_{01}}^{D_{r r}} \frac{d D}{2\left[4 \sigma^{\prime}{ }_{f} \varepsilon^{\prime}{ }_{f}\left(1-\sigma_{m} / \sigma^{\prime}{ }_{f}\right)\right]^{\frac{m_{1} m_{1}^{\prime}}{m_{1}+m_{1}^{\prime}}} \times\left(v_{e f f}\right)^{-1}} \\
& \times \frac{1}{m^{\frac{m_{m} m_{1}^{\prime}}{}}+}+ \\
& \times(0.25 \Delta \sigma \times \Delta \varepsilon)^{m_{1}+m_{1}^{\prime}} \\
& +\int_{a_{r r}}^{D_{\text {eff }}} \frac{d D}{2\left\{\left[\frac{\sigma_{f \cdot} \cdot \sigma_{s}\left(\sigma_{f c} / \sigma_{s}+1\right)}{E}\left(\sqrt{\pi a_{2 e f f}}\right)^{3}\right]\left(1-\sigma_{m} / \sigma_{f c}\right)\right\}^{-\frac{m_{2} \lambda_{2}}{m_{2}+\lambda_{2}}}}  \tag{53}\\
& \times \frac{1}{v_{p v}} \times \frac{1}{\left(\left[0.5 \sigma \cdot \sigma_{s}\left(\sqrt{\pi a_{2}}\right)^{3}\left(\sigma / \sigma_{s}+1\right)\right] / E\right)^{\frac{m_{2} \lambda_{2}}{m_{2}+\lambda_{2}}}}
\end{align*}
$$

It should yet point that the calculations for rate and life in whole process should be according to different condition, to select appropriate calculable equation. And have to explain that its meaning of the damage rate linking equations $(48,51)$ is to make a link relation between the first stage rate and the second stage rate, which it should be calculated by the micro damage growth rate equation before the damage value $D_{t r}$ at transition point; it should be calculated by the macro damage growth rate equation after the damage value $D_{t r}$, that is not been added together by the rates for

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{d D_{\text {ll }}}{d N_{1}}=\left\{2 \cdot\left[4 \sigma_{f}^{\prime} \varepsilon_{f}^{\prime}\left(1-\sigma_{m} / \sigma_{f}^{\prime}\right)\right]^{\frac{m_{1} m_{1}^{\prime}}{m_{1}+m_{1}^{\prime}}} \times\left(v_{\text {eff }}\right)^{-1} \times(0.25 \times \Delta \sigma \times \Delta \varepsilon)^{\frac{m_{m m_{1}^{\prime}}}{m_{1}+m_{1}^{\prime}}}\right\}_{D_{01}>D_{w}} \\
& =\frac{d D_{t r}}{d N}=\frac{d D_{2 t}}{d N_{2}}= \\
& =\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left.2\left\{\left[\frac{\sigma_{f c} \cdot \sigma_{s}\left(\sigma_{f c} / \sigma_{s}+1\right)}{E}\left(\sqrt{\pi a_{2 e f}}\right)^{3}\right]\left(1-\sigma_{m} / \sigma_{f c}\right)\right\}\right\}^{-\frac{m_{2} \lambda_{2}}{m_{2}+\lambda_{2}}} \times \\
\times\left(\left[0.5 \sigma \cdot \sigma_{s}\left(\sqrt{\pi a_{2}}\right)^{3}\left(\sigma / \sigma_{s}+1\right)\right] / E\right)^{\frac{m^{2} \lambda_{2}}{m_{2}+\lambda_{2}}}
\end{array}\right\}_{D_{t} \rightarrow D_{\text {eff }}},
\end{aligned}
$$

two stages. But the life calculations for two stages can be added together. About calculation method, it can calculate by means of computer doing computing by different crack size [16,17].

## III. Calculating example

## A. Contents of Example Calculations

To suppose a pressure vessel is made with elasticplastic steel 16 MnR , its strength limit of material $\sigma_{b}=573 M P a$, yield limit $\sigma_{s}=361 M P a$, fatigue limit $\sigma_{-1}=267.2 M P a$, reduction of area is $\psi=0.51$, modulus of elasticity $E=200000 M P a$; Cyclic strength coefficient $\quad K^{\prime}=1165 \mathrm{MPa}$, strain-hardening exponent $\quad n^{\prime}=0.187$; Fatigue strength coefficient $\sigma^{\prime}{ }_{f}=947.1 \mathrm{MPa}$, fatigue strength exponent $b_{1}^{\prime}=-0.111$, $\quad m_{1}=9.009$; Fatigue ductility coefficient $\varepsilon^{\prime}{ }_{f}=0.464$, fatigue ductility exponent $c_{1}^{\prime}=-0.5395 \quad, \quad m_{1}^{\prime}=1.8536$. Threshold value ${ }^{\Delta K_{t h}}=8.6 \mathrm{MPa} \sqrt{\mathrm{m}}$, critical stress intensity factor $K_{2 c}=K_{1 c}=92.7 \mathrm{MPa} \sqrt{\mathrm{m}}$, critical damage stress intensity factor $\quad K^{\prime}{ }_{2 c}=92.7 M P a \sqrt{1000-\text { damage - unit }} \quad$ of equivalent to the $K_{\text {Ic }}\left(K_{2 c}\right)$. Its working stress $\sigma_{\max }=450 M P a, \sigma_{\min }=0$ in pressure vessel. And suppose that for long crack shape has been simplified via treatment become an equivalent throughcrack, the correction coefficient $y_{2}(a / b)$ of crack shapes and sizes equal 1, i.e. $y_{2}(a / b)=1$. Other computing data are all included in table 1-2.
table i. Computing data

| $K_{1 c}, M P a \sqrt{m}$ | $K_{e f f}, M P a \sqrt{m}$ | $K_{t h}, M P a \sqrt{m}$ | $v_{p v}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 92.7 | 28.23 | 8.6 | $2 \times 10^{-4}$ |

table in. Computing data

| $m_{2}$ | $\delta_{c}, m m$ | $\lambda_{2}$ | $y_{2}(a / b)$ | $a_{t h}^{\prime}, m m$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3.91 | 0.18 | 2.9 | 1.0 | 0.07 |

B. Required Calculating Data

Try by two kinds of calculating methods to calculate respectively as following different data and depicting their curves :

- To calculate the transitional point damage value $D_{t r}$ between two stages;
- To calculate the damage rate at transitional point ( at damage value $D_{t r}$ );
- To calculate the life $N_{1}$ in first stage from micro damage value $D_{1}=0.02$ damage - unit growth to transitional point $D_{t r}$;
- To calculate the life $N_{2}$ in second stage $N_{2}$ from transitional point $D_{t r}$ to macro damage value $D_{2 \mathrm{eff}}=5$-damage - unit ;
- Calculating the whole service lifetime $\sum N$;
- Depicting their damage life curves in whole process.
C. Calculations for Relevant Parameters

1) Donversions for dimensions and units

Data after conversions for dimensions and units is including in table 3,4 , and 5.
table iiI. Computing Data after Conversions

| $K_{1 c}^{\prime}, M P a \sqrt{1000 \text { damage - nuit }}$ | $K_{\text {eff }}^{\prime}, M P a \sqrt{1000 \text { damage }- \text { nuit }}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 92.7 | 28.23 |

table iv. Computing Data after Conversions

| $K_{t h}^{\prime}, M P a M P a \sqrt{1000 \text { damage - nuit }}$ | $v_{p v}^{\prime}$ | $m_{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8.6 | $2 \times 10^{-4}$ | 3.91 |

table v. Computing Data after Conversions

| $\delta_{c}^{\prime}$ damage-unit | $\lambda_{2}$ | $y_{2}(a / b)$ | $D_{\text {eff }}$ damage - unit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.18 | 2.9 | 1.0 | 2 |

2) Calculations for relevant parameters

- Stress range calculation:
$\Delta \sigma=\sigma_{\max }-\sigma_{\min }=450-0=450(M P a)$
- Mean stress calculation: $\sigma_{m}=\left(\sigma_{\text {max }}+\sigma_{\text {min }}\right) / 2=(450-0) / 2=225 \mathrm{MPa}$
- According to formulas (6), calculation for correction coefficient $v_{\text {eff }}^{\prime}$ in first stage
$v_{\text {eff }}^{\prime}=D_{\text {eff }} \ln [1 /(1-\psi)]=2 \times \ln [1 /(1-0.51)]=1.43$,
(damage-unit/cycle)
- By eqn (29), to select virtual rate $v_{p v}^{\prime}$ in second stage, here take:
$v_{p v}=\frac{D_{2 e f f}-D_{02}}{N_{2 f}-N_{02}} \approx 2.0 \times 10^{-4}$ (damage - unit / Cycle $)$,
$N_{2 f}=1, \quad N_{02}=0$
- According to formulas (32), Calculating effective size $a_{\text {eff }}$
$D_{\text {eff }}=\frac{E \times \delta_{\text {eff }}^{\prime}}{\pi \sigma_{s}\left(\sigma_{f} / \sigma_{s}+1\right)}=\frac{200000 \times 0.25 \times 0.18}{\pi 361(947.1 / 361+1)}$
$=2.1($ damage - unit $)$,
Take $D_{\text {eff }}=2.0 \mathrm{~mm}$.
Here take effective damage value in first and the second stage:
$D_{1 e f f}=D_{2 e f f}=2$ damage - unit
Beneath is also to calculate by means of two kinds of methods respectively.
D. The Concrete Calculation Methods and Processes

1) The single parameter method

The concrete calculation methods and processes are
as follows:
a)To calculate the transitional point damage value $D_{t r}$ between two stages

By the rate link formulas (47-48), select relevant equation for micro damage rate calculation.

- At first, calculation for comprehensive material constant $A_{1}^{\prime}$ by eqn (13)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{1}^{\prime}=2 K^{1-m_{1}}\left[2 \varepsilon_{f}^{\prime}\left(1-\sigma_{m} / \sigma_{f}^{\prime}\right)\right]^{1 / c^{\prime}} \times\left(D_{e f} \times v_{f}\right)^{-1} \\
& =2 \times 1165^{-9.01} \times[2 \times 0.464(1-225 / 947.1)]^{1 /-0.5395} \\
& \times(2 \times 0.713)^{-1}=6.28 \times 10^{-28},(\text { MPa } \sqrt[m_{1}]{\text { damage }- \text { unit }})^{-m_{1}}
\end{aligned}
$$

- $\quad$ Select the damage rate linking equation (48), and for damage rate in first stage to take brief calculations as follow form,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& d D_{1} / d N_{1}=A_{1}^{\prime} \times(\Delta \sigma / 2)^{m_{1}} \times D_{1}=3.193 \times 10^{-28} \\
& \times(450 / 2)^{9.01} \times D_{1}=6.28 \times 10^{-28} \times 1.56 \times 10^{21} \times D_{1} \\
& =9.8 \times 10^{-7} \times D_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

Still by the rate link formulas (48), calculating for macro damage rate in second stage

- Calculation for comprehensive material constant $B_{2}$ by eqn (28)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& B_{2}^{\prime}=2\left[\left(\pi \sigma_{s}\left(\sigma_{f}^{\prime} / \sigma_{s}+1\right)\left(1-\sigma_{m} / \sigma_{f}^{\prime}\right) D_{e f f} / E\right)\right]^{-\lambda_{2}} \times v_{p v} \\
& =2[2(3.1416 \times 361(947.1 / 361+1)(1-225 / 947.1) \times 2 / 200000)]^{-2.9} \\
& \times 2 \times 10^{-4}=9.1988,(\text { damage }- \text { unit })^{-\lambda_{2}} \times \text { damage }- \text { unit } / \text { Cycle }
\end{aligned}
$$

For the damage rate in second stage, to take brief calculations as follow form,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& d D_{2} / d N_{2}=B_{2}\left[\frac{0.5 \pi \sigma_{s} y_{2}\left(\Delta \sigma / 2 \sigma_{s}+1\right) D_{2}}{E}\right]^{\lambda_{2}} \\
& =9.1988 \times\left[\frac{0.5 \pi 361(450 /(2 \times 361)+1) D_{2}}{E}\right]^{2.9} \\
& =9.1988 \times 1.6698 \times 10^{-7} D_{2}^{2.9} \\
& =1.5384 \times 10^{-6} D_{2}^{2.9}
\end{aligned}
$$

- Calculation for transitional point damage value $D_{t r}$

According to the equations (47) and (48), for the transitional point damage value $D_{t r}$ between two stages to do calculation; then, to take brief link calculation formulas as follow form,
$6.28 \times 10^{-28} \times 1.56 \times 10^{21} \times D_{t r}=9.1988 \times 1.6698 \times 10^{-7} \times D_{t r}^{2.9}$,

$$
D_{t r}=(0.638)^{\frac{1}{1.9}}=(0.638)^{0.5263}=0.789(\text { damage }- \text { unit })
$$

So to obtain the transitional point damage value $D_{t r}=0.789($ damage $-u n i t)$ between two stages.
b) To calculate the damage rate at transitional point (damage value $D_{t r}$ )

$$
\begin{aligned}
& d D_{1} / d N_{1}=d D_{t r} / d N_{t r}=9.8 \times 10^{-7} D_{1} \\
& =9.8 \times 10^{-7} \times 0.789=7.74 \times 10^{-7}(\text { damage }- \text { unit } / \text { cycle }) \\
& d D_{2} / d N_{2}=d D_{t r} / d N_{t r}=1.5384 \times 10^{-6} D_{t r}^{2.9} \\
& =1.5384 \times 10^{-6} \times(0.79)^{2.9} \\
& =7.74 \times 10^{-7}(\text { damage }- \text { unit } / \text { cycle })
\end{aligned}
$$

Here can be seen, the damage rate at the transition point $\quad\left(D_{t r}=0.789\right.$ damage $\left.-u n i t\right)$ is same.

And above damage rate value equivalent to the crack growth rate at the transition point of crack size $a_{t r}=0.789(\mathrm{~mm})$, it is $d a_{t r} / d N_{t r}=7.74 \times 10^{-7}(\mathrm{~mm} /$ cycle $)$.
c) Life prediction calculations in whole process

- $\quad$ Predicting life in first stage $N_{1}$

To select eqn (16), the life $N_{1}$ from micro-damage $D_{1}=0.02$ damage - unit to transitional point (damage value $D_{t r}=0.789$ damage $-u n i t$ ) is as follow,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& N_{1}=\frac{\ln D_{t r}-\ln D_{01}}{2 K^{\prime-m_{1}}\left[2 \varepsilon_{f}^{\prime}\left(1-\sigma_{m} / \sigma_{f}^{\prime}\right)\right]^{1 / c^{\prime}} \times\left(D_{\text {eff }} \times v_{f}\right)^{-1}} \\
& \times \frac{1}{(\Delta \sigma / 2)^{m_{1}} \times D}= \\
& =\frac{\ln 0.789-\ln 0.02}{2 \times 1165^{-9.01} \times[2 \times 0.464(1-225 / 947.1)]^{1 /-0.5395}(2 \times 0.713)^{-1}} \\
& \times \frac{1}{(450 / 2)^{9.01}}=\frac{3.675}{6.28 \times 10^{-28} \times 1.56 \times 10^{21}}=\frac{3.675}{9.8 \times 10^{-7}} \\
& =3751260(\text { Cycle })
\end{aligned}
$$

So predicting life in first stage $N_{1}=3751260$ (Cycle)
And for above formulas, we can derive simplified life equation in first stage corresponded to different damage value as follow form

$$
N_{1}=\frac{1}{9.8 \times 10^{-7} D_{1}}
$$

- Predicting life in second stage $N_{2}$

To select eqn (31), to calculate the life $N_{2}$ in second stage from transitional point damage value $D_{t r}=0.789$ (damage-unit) to $D_{2 e f f}=5$ damage-unit is as follow,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& N_{2}=\frac{\frac{1}{1-\lambda_{2}}\left(D_{2 e f f}^{1-\lambda_{2}}-D_{t r}^{1-\lambda_{2}}\right)}{2\left[\left(\pi \sigma_{s}\left(\sigma_{f}^{\prime} / \sigma_{s}+1\right)\left(1-\sigma_{m} / \sigma_{f}^{\prime}\right) D_{e f f} / E\right)\right]^{-\lambda_{2}} \times v_{p v}} \\
& \times \frac{1}{\left[\frac{0.5 \pi \sigma_{s} y_{2}\left(\Delta \sigma / 2 \sigma_{s}+1\right)}{E}\right]^{\lambda_{2}}}= \\
& =\frac{\frac{1}{1-2.9}\left(5^{1-2.9}-0.789^{1-2.9}\right)}{2[(3.1416 \times 361(947.1 / 361+1)(1-225 / 947.1) \times 2 / 200000)]^{-2.9}} \\
& \times \frac{1}{v_{p v}} \times \frac{1}{\left[\frac{0.5 \pi 361(450 /(2 \times 361)+1)}{E}\right]^{2.9}}=\frac{0.8}{9.1988 \times 1.6698 \times 10^{-7}} \\
& =\frac{0.8}{1.5384 \times 10^{-6}}=520625(\text { Cycle })
\end{aligned}
$$

From above formulas, we can also derive simplified life equation corresponding different damage value as follow form

$$
\rightarrow \quad N_{2}=\frac{1}{1.5384 \times 10^{-6} D_{2}^{2.9}}
$$

- Life prediction calculations in whole process

Therefore, predicting life in whole process is

$$
\sum N=N_{1}+N_{2}=3751260+520625=4271885(\text { Cycle })
$$

The life data corresponded to different damage values which are all converted to the relation between the crack growth sizes and the life, and are all included in tables 6~13.
2) The two parameter multiplication method
a) To calculate the transitional point damage value $D_{\text {tr }}$ between two stages

- Calculation for comprehensive material constant $A_{1}^{\prime *}$ in first stage by eqn (21)
$A_{1}^{*}=2\left[4 \sigma_{f}^{\prime} \varepsilon_{f}^{\prime}\left(1-\sigma_{m} / \sigma_{f}^{\prime}\right)\right]^{-\frac{m_{1} m_{1}^{\prime}}{m_{1}+m_{1}^{\prime}}} \times\left(D_{\text {eff }} \times v_{f}\right)^{-1}$
$=2[4(947.1 \times 0.464)(1-225 / 947.1)]^{-\frac{9.009 \times 1.8536}{9.009+1.5536}}$
$\times(2 \times 0.713)^{-1}=2.216 \times 10^{-5}\left(\mathrm{MPa}^{\frac{m_{1} m_{1}^{\prime}}{m_{1}+m_{1}^{\prime}}}\right.$ damage - nuit - number/cycle $)$
- Calculation for comprehensive material constant $B_{2}^{*}$ in second stage by eqn (44)
$B_{2}^{*}=2\left\{\left[\frac{\sigma_{f c} \cdot \sigma_{s}\left(\sigma_{f c} / \sigma_{s}+1\right)}{E}\left(\sqrt{\pi D_{2 e f f}}\right)^{3}\right]\left(1-\sigma_{m} / \sigma_{f c}\right)\right\}^{-\frac{m_{2} \lambda_{2}}{m_{2}+\lambda_{2}}}$
$\times v_{p v}=2\left\{\left[\frac{\sigma_{f c} \cdot \sigma_{s}\left(\sigma_{f c} / \sigma_{s}+1\right)}{E}\left(\sqrt{\pi a_{2 e f f}}\right)^{3}\right]\left(1-\sigma_{m} / \sigma_{f c}\right)\right\}^{\frac{m_{2} \lambda_{2}}{m_{2}+\lambda_{2}}}$
$=2\left\{\left[\frac{947.1 \times 361(947.1 / 361+1)}{200000}\left(\sqrt{\pi \times a_{2 \text { eff }}}\right)^{3}\right](1-225 / 947.1)\right\}^{-\frac{3.91 \times 2.9}{3.91+2.9}}$
$\times 2 \times 10^{-4}=2\left\{\left[6.1945 \times \pi^{1.5} 2^{1.5}\right] 0.7624\right\}^{-1.665} \times 2 \times 10^{-4}$
$=2\{74.381\}^{-1.665} \times 2 \times 10^{-4}=3.0625 \times 10^{-7}$
(MPa ${ }^{\frac{m_{2} \lambda_{2}}{m_{2}+\lambda_{2}}} \cdot$ damage - unit - number / cycle)
- According to the equations (47) and (51), calculation for damage value $D_{t r}$ at transitional point.

Then, for the transitional point value $D_{t r}$ between two stages doing calculation, same, it can make equal between the rate expansion equation at left side and at right side as following.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& d D_{1} / d N_{1}=d D_{t r} / d N_{1-2}=d D_{2} / d N_{2} \\
& A_{1}^{* *} \times(0.25 \times \Delta \varepsilon \cdot \Delta \sigma)^{\frac{m_{1} m_{1}^{\prime}}{m_{1}+m_{1}^{\prime}}} \times D_{t r} \\
& =A_{2}^{*}\left[(\Delta \sigma / 2) \cdot 0.5 \sigma_{s}\left(\sqrt{\pi D_{t r}}\right)^{3}\left(\Delta \sigma / 2 \sigma_{s}+1\right) / E\right]^{\frac{m_{2} \lambda_{2}}{m_{2}+\lambda_{2}}} \\
& 2\left[4 \sigma_{f}^{\prime} \varepsilon_{f}^{\prime}\left(1-\sigma_{m} / \sigma_{f}^{\prime}\right)\right]^{-\frac{m_{1} m_{1}^{\prime}}{m_{1}+m_{1}^{\prime}}} \times\left(D_{1 e f f} \times v_{f}\right)^{-1} \\
& \times(0.25 \times \Delta \varepsilon \cdot \Delta \sigma)^{\frac{m_{1} m_{1}^{\prime}}{m_{1}+m_{1}^{\prime}}} \times D_{t r} \\
& =2\left\{\left[\frac{\sigma_{f c} \cdot \sigma_{s}\left(\sigma_{f c} / \sigma_{s}+1\right)}{E}\left(\sqrt{\pi D_{2 e f f}}\right)^{3}\right]\left(1-\sigma_{m} / \sigma_{f c}\right)\right\}^{-\frac{m_{2} \lambda_{2}}{m_{2}+\lambda_{2}}} \times v_{p v} \\
& \times\left[0.5(\Delta \sigma / 2) \cdot \sigma_{s}\left(\sqrt{\pi D_{t r}}\right)^{3}\left(\Delta \sigma / 2 \sigma_{s}+1\right) / E\right]^{\frac{m_{2} \lambda_{2}}{m_{2}+\lambda_{2}}}
\end{aligned}
$$

## $-9.009 \times 1.8536$

$2[4(947.1 \times 0.464)(1-225 / 947.1)]^{-9.009+1.8536}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \times(2 \times 0.7133)^{-1} \times\left(0.25 \times 2.553 \times 10^{-3} \times 450\right)^{\frac{9.009 \times 1.8536}{9.009+1.8536}} \times D_{t r} \\
& =\left\{\left[\frac{947.1 \times 361(947.1 / 361+1)}{200000}(\sqrt{\pi \times 2})^{3}\right](1-225 / 947.1)\right\}^{-\frac{3.91 \times 2.9}{3.91+2.9}} \\
& \times 2 \times 10^{-4} \times\left[0.5(450 / 2) \cdot 361\left(\sqrt{\pi D_{t r}}\right)^{3}(450 / 2 \times 361+1) / E\right]^{\frac{3.91 \times 2.9}{3.91+2.9}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Here to make simplified calculation:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 3.22 \times 10^{-6} D_{t r}=2.6695 \times 10^{-6} \times D_{t r}^{2.4975}, \\
& D_{t r}=1.2062^{0.6678}=1.133(\text { damage }- \text { unit }) .
\end{aligned}
$$

So obtain the transitional point damage value $D_{t r}=1.133$ (damage - unit) between two stages, that is equivalent to crack size $a_{t r}=1.113 \mathrm{~mm}$ at this point.
b)The damage rate calculations for transitional point $D_{t r}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& d D_{1} / d N_{1}=d D_{t r} / d N_{t r}=3.22 \times 10^{-6} \times D_{t r}= \\
& =3.22 \times 10^{-6} \times 1.133=3.648 \times 10^{-6} \\
& (\text { damage }- \text { unit } / \text { cycle }) \\
& d D_{2} / d N_{2}=d D_{t r} / d N_{t r}=2.6695 \times 10^{-6} a_{t r}^{2.4975} \\
& =2.6695 \times 10^{-6} \times 1.133^{2.4975}=3.646 \times 10^{-6}(\text { damage }- \text { unit } / \text { cycle })
\end{aligned}
$$

That is equivalent to crack growth rate $3.648 \times 10^{-6}$ ( $\mathrm{mm} /$ cycle)

Thus it can be seen, the crack growth rate at the transition point crack size $a_{t r}=1.113(\mathrm{~mm})$ is same, it is $3.646 \times 10^{-6}$ ( $\mathrm{mm} /$ cycle)
c) Life prediction calculations in whole process

- Life prediction calculations in first stage:

Select life predicting calculation equation (23), the calculable life $N_{1}$ in first stage from micro-damage $D_{01}=0.02$ damage-unit to transitional point $D_{t r}=1.113$ (damage - unit) is as follow,


$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\frac{\ln 1.133-\ln 0.02}{2[4(947.1 \times 0.464)(1-225 / 947.1)]^{-\frac{9.009 \times 1.8536}{9.009+1.8536}} \times(2 \times 0.7133)^{-1}} \\
& \times \frac{\ln 1.133-\ln 0.02}{3.22 \times 10^{-6}}=\frac{4.0369}{3.22 \times 10^{-6}}=1253693(\text { Cycle })
\end{aligned}
$$

So first stage life $N_{1}=1253693$ (Cycle)

And from above we can derive simplified life equation in first stage corresponded to different damage value as follow form

$$
N_{1}=\frac{1}{3.22 \times 10^{-6} D_{1}}
$$

- Life prediction calculations in second stage:

Select life predicting calculation equation (46), the calculable life $N_{2}$ in second stage from transitional point damage value $D_{t r}=1.113$ (damage-unit) to $D_{\text {eff }}=5$ damage - unit
is as follow,


From above we can also derive simplified life equation corresponding different damage value as follow form

$$
\rightarrow \quad N_{2}=\frac{1}{2.6695 \times 10^{-6} D^{2.9}}
$$

- Calculation In whole process life

Therefore, whole process life is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum N=N_{1}+N_{2}=1253693+185014 \\
& =1438707(\text { Cycle })
\end{aligned}
$$

The life data corresponded to different damage value in damage growth propagation course is all included in tables 6~13 which are comparisons for life data calculating results in two stages by two kinds of methods.

## E. Calculating Results

TABLE VI. COMPARISONS FOR LIFE DATA IN TWO

STAGES BY TWO KINDS OF METHODS

| Data point of number | 1 | 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crack size (mm) | 0.02 | 0.04 |
| Single parameter method N1 | 51020408 | 25510204 |
| Two parameter method N1 | 15527950 | 7763975 |
| Ratio | $3.25: 1$ | $3.25: 1$ |
| Single parameter method N2 | Invalid section |  |
| Two parameter method N2 | Invalid section |  |

TABLE VII. COMPARISONS FOR LIFE DATA IN TWO STAGES BY TWO KINDS OF METHODS

| Data point of number | 3 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crack size (mm) | 0.1 | 0.2 |
| Single parameter method N1 | 10204082 | 5102041 |
| Two parameter method N1 | 3105590 | 1552795 |
| Ratio | $3.25: 1$ | $3.25: 1$ |
| Single parameter method N2 |  |  |
| Two parameter method N2 |  | 20856799 |

TABLE VIII. COMPARISONS FOR LIFE DATA IN TWO STAGES BY TWO KINDS OF METHODS

| Data point of number | 5 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crack size (mm) | 0.4 | 0.5 |
| Single parameter method N1 | 2551020 | 2040816 |
| Two parameter method N1 | 776398 | 621118 |
| Ratio | $3.25: 1$ | $3.25: 1$ |
| Single parameter method N2 |  | 4851966 |
| Two parameter method N2 | 3693391 | 2115400 |
| Ratio |  | $2.29: 1$ |

TABLE IX. COMPARISONS FOR LIFE DATA IN TWO STAGES BY TWO KINDS OF METHODS

| Data point of number | 6 | 7 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crack size (mm) | 0.6 | 0.7 |  |
| Single parameter method N1 | 1700680 | 2868041 |  |
| Two parameter method N1 | 517598 | 443656 |  |
| Ratio | $3.25: 1$ | $3.25: 1$ |  |
| Single parameter method N2 | 2859513 | 1828717 |  |
| Two parameter method N2 | 1341644 | 912931 |  |
| Ratio | $2: 1$ |  |  |
| TABLE X. COMPARISONS | FOR LIFE | DATA |  |
| IN TWO |  |  |  | STAGES BY TWO KINDS OF METHODS


| Data point of number | Transition <br> point | Transition <br> point |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crack size (mm) | 0.789 | 1.133 |
| Single parameter method N1 | 1293293 | 900625 |
| Two parameter method N1 | 393611 | 274103 |
| Ratio | $3.25: 1$ | $3.25: 1$ |
| Single parameter method N2 | 1292431 | 452547 |
| Two parameter method N2 | 677049 | 274240 |
| Ratio | $1.91: 1$ | $1.65: 1$ |

TABLE XI. COMPARISONS FOR LIFE DATA IN TWO STAGES BY TWO KINDS OF METHODS

| Data point of number | 9 | 10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crack size (mm) | 1.5 | 2.0 |
| Single parameter method N1 | 680272 | 510204 |
| Two parameter method N1 | 207039 | 155280 |
| Ratio | $3.25: 1$ | $3.25: 1$ |
| Single parameter method N2 | 200570 | 87085 |
| Two parameter method N2 | 136076 | 66336 |
| Ratio | $1.47: 1$ | $1.31: 1$ |

TABLE XII. COMPARISONS FOR LIFE DATA IN TWO STAGES BY TWO KINDS OF METHODS

| Data point of number | 11 | 12 | 13 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crack size (mm) | 3.0 | 4.0 | 5.0 |
| Single parameter method N1 |  |  |  |
| Two parameter method N1 |  |  |  |
| Ratio |  |  |  |
| Single parameter method N2 | 26871 | 11667 | 6108 |
| Two parameter method N2 | 24097 | 11747 | 6728 |
| Ratio | $1.12: 1$ | $0.993: 1$ | $0.91: 1$ |

From the tables, it is observed that the crack size from 0.02 mm to 2 mm ( as the first stage) , comparison for their result data calculated by the single parameter method and two parameter method, both ratio is all 3.25:1; And for the crack size from 0.5 mm to 5 mm (as the second stage), comparison for their result data calculated by two kinds of method, both ratio is gradually to reduce from 2.3:1 to 0.91:1.

So, the comparisons to their advantages and disadvantages for two methods them-self, that are: for the single parameter method, its calculation model is simpler; for the two-parameter method, its calculation precision is higher and more moderate in whole process, but its calculation models are more complex. Looked from the overall trend, both calculated result data is basically closer in whole process; especially the life data in second stage is closer.


Figure 2. Comparison of life curves in whole process (in decimal coordinate system)
(A) 1-1---Curve in first stage depicted by singleparameter calculating data;
(B) 1-2--- Curve in second stage depicted by single-parameter calculating data;
(C) This example transition point from micro-crack size 0.02 mm to long crack size 5 is just at seventh point (crack size 0.789 mm ).
(D) 2-1---Curve in first stage depicted by twoparameter calculating data;
(E) 2-2--- Curve in second stage depicted by twoparameter calculating data;
(F) This example transition point from micro-crack size 0.02 mm to long crack size 5 is just at eighth point (crack size 1.113 mm ).

- Curve of the first stage. 1-1
-     - Curve of the second stage.1-2
- Curve of the first stage. 2-1
$\Longrightarrow$ Curve of the second stage.2-2


Figure 3. Comparison of life curves in whole process (in logarithmic coordinate system)
(A) 1-1---Curve in first stage depicted by singleparameter calculating data;
(B) 1-2--- Curve in second stage depicted by single-parameter calculating data;
(C) This example transition point from micro-crack size 0.02 mm to long crack size 5 is just at seventh point (crack size 0.789 mm ).
(D) 2-1---Curve in first stage depicted by twoparameter calculating data;
(E) 2-2--- Curve in second stage depicted by twoparameter calculating data;
(F) This example transition point from micro-crack size 0.02 mm to long crack size 5 is just at eighth point (crack size 1.113 mm ).

## IV. Conclusions

1) About comparison for calculating methods of two kinds: For the single parameter method, its calculation model is simpler; for the two-parameter method, its calculation precision in whole process is higher and more moderate, but its calculation models are more complex. Looked from the overall trend, the result data
calculated by two methods is basically closer in whole process; especially both life data in second stage is closer.
2) About conversion regulations on variables, dimensions and units: Inside mathematical models to convert crack variable $a$ into damage variable $D$, it must define " 1 mm -crack-length" equivalent to 'one-damage-unit', " 1 m -crack-length" equivalent to '1000-damage-unit', this is a key for linking and communicating the damage mechanics and the fracture mechanics.
3) About the methods for whole process rate and life calculations: For damage transition value $D_{t r}$ can be calculated to make equal by between the microdamage rate and the macro-damage rate equation; For rate calculation, before the transition point $D_{t r}$ it should be calculated by the micro damage rate equation, after the transition point $D_{t r}$ it should be calculated by the macro damage rate equation. But the lifetime calculations can be added together by life cycle number in two stages.
4) About difference cognition for material constants: True material constants must show the inherent characters of materials, such as the $\sigma_{s}$ and $E, \delta, \psi$ etc in the material mechanics; and for instance the $\sigma_{f}$ and $\sigma_{f}^{\prime} ; \varepsilon_{f} \square$ and $\varepsilon^{\prime}{ }_{f} ; b_{1}$ and $b_{1}^{\prime} ; c_{1}$ and $c_{1}^{\prime}$ and so on in the fatigue damage mechanics; which could all be checked and obtained from general handbooks; But some new material constants about the strength, the rate and the life equations in the fracture and damage mechanics can be calculated by means of the relational expressions, e.g. formulas (11$13)$, (20-21), (24-26), (28), (37-38), etc. Of course, for which have to combine experiments to verify. Therefore for this kind of material constants can be defined as comprehensive materials constants.
5) Total conclusion: Based on the conventional material mechanics is a calculable subject, in consideration of the conventional parameters there are "the hereditary characters", In view of the relatedness and the transferability between related parameters among each disciplines; And based on above viewpoints and cognitions (1)~(4), then make the fatigue and the damage mechanics disciplines become calculable subjects, that will be to exist the possibility.
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Figure1. Comprehensive figure of materials fatigue-damage-fracture

